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***Call to Order***

Academic Senate Chairperson Susan Kalter called the meeting to order.

Senator Kalter: I hope you all had a good rainy weekend.

Senator Marx: Rainy Homecoming. Yep

***Oral Communications:***

***Engineering Discussion***

Senator Kalter: Just a couple of Oral Communications. I have more than just the one that’s printed on the agenda, but I’ll start with that one. We had talked last time about whether, Senator Campbell had brought up whether we want to continue the Engineering discussion in the Senate, and I think the answer seemed like a yes, but Dr. Dietz is going to be gone next week. So my suggestion is that we wait until the late November meeting.

President Dietz: 20th, I think.

Senator Kalter: What?

President Dietz: The 20th, I think.

Senator Kalter: The 20th, I think that’s right. Yeah. Would that work? You’re back in town at that time?

President Dietz: Yeah. Oh, yeah.

Senator Kalter: Okay. So that’s the first one. The second one, I just wanted to let you all know, and I’ll probably send, or maybe it may come out of the Provost’s office, but I got word late Friday that we have interviews about to be scheduled for the Associate Vice President for Academic Planning probably on the fifth and seventh of November, and I think they’re going to be in the morning, but I’m not sure about that. And then… So mark your calendars for that, and we’ll tell the whole Senate, because it will be a joint Academic Planning Committee and Senate meeting those days.

And then we noticed just before Exec that we were looking at the previous Exec agenda, and I had made a comment that we had not yet talked about Isaac Hollis’ memo in any specific way. So we know that there may be something coming up from Planning and Finance Committee regarding the comments that were made at the October 9 Senate, and so we can talk about those things at the next Executive Committee meeting. The memo, if you can remember, was the demands from the black student groups. Okay, Anybody else have any Oral Communications before we go to Distributed? All right.

***Distributed Communications:***

***03.02.16.03 From Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee: Policy 6.1.37 Facilities Naming Current (Information Item 11/6/19)***

***10.24.19.01 From Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee: Policy 6.1.37 Facilities Naming Mark up (Information Item 11/6/19)***

***10.24.19.02 From Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee: Policy 6.1.37 Facilities Naming Clean copy (Information Item 11/6/19)***

Senator Kalter: First one is Facilities Naming from the Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee. David, would you like to tell us a little bit about it?

Senator Marx: Sure. This… Apparently the, let’s see who was it, Brent Paterson, Jill Jones, and Sam Catanzaro had worked on this a couple of years ago and had recommended some changes to make it more in line with what is currently done. So what we had done since then was to reorganize the policy a little bit to make it easier to read. And secondly, the composition of the Naming Committee, the President’s office had asked that it be altered a bit, and we talked about that, and have put those changes in. They’re consistent with the previous policy except now it’s more specific that it’s actually… The membership is made up of individuals by virtue of the office that they hold, rather than being appointed as, or appointed directly. We have one faculty representative appointed by the President and one student representative appointed by the President. And we had some discussion about the term limits that are in the policy, we ended up deciding to keep them as they are, but wondered whether or not these were really necessary. We decided to leave that as a discussion in the full Senate. That’s about it.

Senator Kalter: All right. Do people have comments to be made on that one before it goes to the Senate floor?

Senator Nikolaou: If there are small things, do you prefer us to just email them to you or…?

Senator Marx: Oh. Sure, if you want to recommend small changes.

Senator Nikolaou: Or just bring them up on the floor?

Senator Kalter: How small were they? Because I had a few small ones that probably should be taken care of before that day.

Senator Marx: Yeah, what…

President Dietz: I did too.

Senator Marx: Sure. We could discuss them here.

Senator Nikolaou: And I also had, for example, one incomplete sentence.

Senator Marx: Where is that?

Senator Nikolaou: About the faculty,… so in the markup, page 2, “The student members will serve a one year term. The faculty representative,” just above the definition of terms.

Senator Marx: Oh, I see. Yeah. Yeah. The word “but”…

Senator Nikolaou: But even before that… the faculty representative… so the next part is erased, so it doesn’t say for how much they are serving. It says that you may be reappointed.

Senator Marx: Oh. Right. Right. Right.

Senator Nikolaou: So is it that they are for one-year term, twelve…

Senator Marx: Yes. It’s a two year appointment. So it’s been crossed off when it’s still supposed to be there. So it would read, “The faculty representative serves a two year appointment and may be reappointed for a maximum of three consecutive terms,” would be the correct sentence.

President Dietz: I was going to the next sentence, but if you’re still on that sentence go ahead. The next one, I don’t know what reeligible is.

Senator Marx: Yes. Obviously, we didn’t change that from what it was. But clearly…

President Dietz: Whatever it meant before it still means that, I guess. Eligible again.

Senator Marx: I think it’s just eligible. Are eligible.

Senator Nikolaou: Or are eligible to be reappointed after one year of the committee upon completion of the maximum consecutive terms.

Senator Marx: Yeah.

President Dietz: And in that same sentence, “service done” seems a little awkward, maybe “service completed,” or something like that.

Senator Marx: We’ll look for a better word. Very good. Anything else?

Senator Kalter: I had a quick one that related to all of that, which was, do we have a start and end date for the terms? So, do they start in August or January or May?

Senator Marx: Excellent point. Yeah, I believe it would be the academic year. Start in fall… fall to fall. What has it traditionally been? Do you know?

Senator Kalter: Larry, do you know what it had been traditionally?

President Dietz: I don’t. I think it could be whatever we decide.

Senator Marx: Okay. We’ll have to examine that question.

Senator Kalter: Whatever we decided. So if you think, you and your committee, David, think August, put August and we’ll get in a big ferocious debate about it on the floor.

Senator Marx: The reason we didn’t do anything with this paragraph was I was hoping we were going to delete it entirely. Which is why it hasn’t been corrected.

Senator Kalter: I’m sorry, did I miss that? Did you already say why you though it was going to be deleted?

Senator Marx: Oh, because of the term limits that were in here. That it would be deleted for that reason. Okay. But we can correct this paragraph to be what it should be, and I will get in touch with the committee in advance of our meeting and see what their opinion is.

Senator Nikolaou: And then I… So the part where it says Definition of Terms. Should this be moved to the beginning or maybe like… because the other, the University Facility and Space Use policy, it has a sentence where it says all the definitions are at the end. So I was thinking it should either be at the beginning so that they know what facility is and what, you know all the different terms are, or if there is a summary of the terms that reflects, that tells it to go to the end of the policy, that we could check that one. And I didn’t know if it would be helpful to actually put numbers, because there are different sections and subsections so that it is more clear. For example, implementation at the end, it refers to everything before that, and not only to what followed about the entities named in honor and memory of.

Senator Marx: Correct. I was wondering if we would want to be consistent with other policies and add section labels. I’m happy to do that before our meeting.

Senator Kalter: In the policy that we’re about to talk about, you have all of the terms at the end.

Senator Marx: Yes. Well, that’s the way it was given to us.

Senator Kalter: Yes. So maybe that, we should make it whatever…

Senator Marx: What is the preference?

Senator Kalter: Start trying to make those things standard in some way.

Senator Marx: Yeah. Exactly. Exactly.

Senator Kalter: And if Alice had anything to do with it, she’d say put them at the end, right.

President Dietz: I think Conduct Code and other policies like this, they generally have the definitions up front.

Senator Kalter: Ah. Okay.

Senator Marx: For this particular policy, I do like it at the beginning.

Senator Kalter: That’s two votes for upfront.

Senator Horst: I’ve see it where too that you have a… it’s embedded and so if you want a definition, you click on it and then…

Senator Kalter: That be nice.

Senator Horst: Wouldn’t that be nice, if we had a hyperlinked definition.

Senator Kalter: Yes.

Senator Marx: Wow.

(Laughter)

Senator Horst: Just giving you a 21st century…

Senator Kalter: Anybody else have stuff on that, whether small or large?

Senator Horst: You know, I used to live in the Bay Area, and we had the new ballpark, and Webvan was a huge sponsor of it, and I don’t know if you even remember Webvan but they were this big company that went under in like three years, a dot-com. And I’m just wondering if we want to think about putting time limits on corporate sponsorship? So if like we have the, I don’t know, the Yamaha School of Music…

Senator Ferrence: State Farm School of Business.

Senator Horst: Yeah. I mean like when you do a sponsorship for a stadium, you get naming right for a certain limited amount of time. And I’m just thinking if we go around and we start naming all of these things after corporations, first off, then we’re going to run out of things to name, and second off, these corporations may not be around in fifteen years.

Senator Marx: That would be a happy problem to have, if all of our things were named.

President Dietz: Yeah. There’s provisions to take names off of things…

Senator Marx: Yeah, there is provision.

President Dietz: I, frankly, would want as much latitude to negotiate on the front end of this as possible, and generally, the length of time is one of those.

Senator Horst: Especially with corporations.

President Dietz: But you can take it off if they’re no longer in business or whatever because the provisions are in here to do that.

Provost Murphy: Yeah. It’s page 3.

Senator Marx: That’s right. Secondly, that would be more likely something you’d find in the Foundation document that’s referenced here.

Senator Kalter: Am I right about that, that we do not have a time where we have to retain a name? In other words, if you unname or rename, as it says in the middle of the policy, can you do it like within a month of naming it, because somebody did something that is embarrassing? Like, is it pretty much unlimited the way that we can do that, or is there like we have to retain it for a certain length of time?

President Dietz: Yeah. We can do it whenever we want. The way I read it anyway, we can do it whenever we think it calls for that.

Senator Marx: Um-hmm.

Senator Kalter: Okay. Other stuff? I do have a bunch of things on this, David. One of them is the title. Since it’s both a facilities naming and an entities naming, I’m wondering if it might be wise to put it as that. Facilities and Entities Naming in the title.

Senator Marx: That’s a good point.

Senator Kalter: Some of these may be stuff for the floor, so you can tell me what you want to save for the floor.

Senator Marx: Okay.

Senator Kalter: Under the University Naming Committee, there’s a line in there where I’m wondering if it shouldn’t say that that committee advises the President prior to naming of entities as well as facilities, so that the President has some advice similar to the advice that they get… because… let’s see where is that. It says, “The University Naming Committee advises the President prior to the President’s making recommendations to the Board of Trustees regarding the naming of facilities.”

Senator Marx: Uh-hmm.

Senator Kalter: But it says nothing about entities, which leaves entities. So I could be working for the… what would you call it, I don’t know, the Yamaha College of Arts and Sciences.

Senator Marx: I fully understand where you’re going with that. It’s just that it… I think when it was originally written, it was assumed to be both under facilities, but that’s too fuzzy. I agree with you that it should be Entities and Facilities.

Senator Kalter: One thing that I wonder if we need to put in there, but it might not be legally possible anyway. ISU itself, it seems like we shouldn’t be able to rename the university itself. Is that our decision or is it the state’s decision?

(Laughter)

President Dietz: It’s the state’s decision.

Senator Kalter: It’s the state’s? So we wouldn’t have to protect ourselves against ourselves in that case.

Senator Marx: Right.

Senator Kalter: Okay.

Senator Ferrence: If Iowa put in some money, we could be Iowa State University East.

(Laughter)

Senator Horst: A billion dollars. Fix all our buildings for a billion dollars and our University could be yours.

Senator Marx: That goes to our charter.

Senator Kalter: Just a couple of small things. On the page five, number 3, there’s a weird punctuation thing going on at the end of the parentheses. And then, I really appreciated, I don’t know if… I didn’t track whether you added this or just moved it, but number 5 was a great idea, to have an assessment of any potential risks. Right.

Senator Marx: Oh yeah. Uh-hum.

Senator Kalter: And to have that be something that goes to the President. I think that we probably should have Vickerman, Vice President Vickerman at this discussion, right, when we talk about it as an Information Item. Is there anybody else who should be there? All the other Vice Presidents are already there. You won’t be there actually.

President Dietz: I will not. I can ask Pat to be there though.

Senator Kalter: Okay. Do you see that as an issue at all, Larry, that you won’t be there when we talk about it in Information?

President Dietz: I don’t think so.

Senator Kalter: And David, I think that despite the brevity of your introduction to us, I think that you should do a verbal Executive Summary that’s a little more detailed, because there were a lot of changes, and rearrangements, and possibly additions, that it would be helpful.

Senator Marx: Sure.

Senator Kalter: I don’t know, I don’t think that needs to be a written executive summary, because it’s too short of a policy to merit that.

Senator Marx: Right.

Senator Kalter: But maybe a little bit longer of a verbal intro may be good. And I’ll also just say for the record, since the President won’t be there at the thing, I do think it’s a potential danger zone to have so many people so close to the President on this committee. So, to have, if the committee were in fact made up of the Vice Presidents, General Counsel, etc., and not the designees, depending on the President and depending on that team, you could get a lot of yes men and women sort of saying, yeah, lets go for this, without necessarily noticing all of the danger zones that you may get into. Senator Campbell, you’re nodding your head about that, how come?

Senator Campbell: I just agree with that sentiment. I see that problem as well. Makes sense.

Senator Kalter: Better to have, perhaps, some people who don’t report directly to a particular President, depending on… Since the… Money talks, right? So I am a little bit concerned about that part of it.

President Dietz: I just don’t know how you can do that, because the folks that are responsible in those areas will be the people that will be implementing whatever’s… So there’s a real functional piece. I understand the philosophical piece, but there’s a realistic functional piece that… So if you have a Vice President that says that this person’s responsible for that kind of activity in the division, you’re only potentially one person or two people removed from that actual position. So I get it philosophically. Functionally I’m not sure how you’d…

Senator Kalter: So, for example, if you have a Danielle Miller-Schuster who is sitting on it, that person reports directly to a Vice President.

President Dietz: Yeah.

Senator Kalter: And so you have the same issue. Is that…?

President Dietz: Theoretically, I don’t surround myself with folks like that.

Senator Kalter: Well, you don’t, but from a…

President Dietz: I get it. I get it. Nobody seems to be shy about expressing their opinion on these issues, but I get it philosophically. I’m just not sure how functionally you deal with that. And then, the example you gave, the Danielle Miller- Schuster is also going to be expressing her opinion about things too. So I don’t know. I don’t know how you accomplish both, I guess.

Senator Kalter: Uh-hum. I’ll put it out there as a (what would you call that) a cautionary. Because I think we could get 15 years down the road and have somebody very different in the office, and maybe get into some controversies that wouldn’t be good for ISU’s reputation.

President Dietz: Yep.

Senator Kalter: So, okay. Anything else about that one?

Senator Marx: They gave the example, if Hooters wanted to sponsor something (I forget what it was now) but that was turned down for some reason.

Senator Kalter: Gee, I wonder why.

Senator Horst: Or is there any mechanism if the faculty disagree? Like if the Koch brothers want to sponsor the Political Science department or… I mean, you know, when the College of Fine Arts was renamed I rejoiced. I thought it was an excellent choice, and we all envision such donations, and such renamings, but there could be some scenarios where it really is kind of embarrassing and…

Senator Marx: Controversial.

Senator Horst: And not something to celebrate, and it’s just a matter of money. And can there be a mechanism to consult with the faculty at all, when you’re renaming the entire entity?

President Dietz: I think that’s when it’s incumbent, and this is within the policy that they talk about the assessment. You know that number five that you were talking about. That’s a big deal.

Senator Marx: Yeah.

President Dietz: And my sense is that that would be in the wheelhouse for a lot of discussion, and to the extent that we would sell our soul for a lot of money; I hope we’re better than that.

Senator Kalter: I wonder if there are records of other universities that have done so?

President Dietz: Well, I know… I’m very familiar with institutions where they’ve accepted money, and things have gone south for that entity, and they’ve taken the name off of it. Mizzou is one of those for the basketball arena.

Senator Kalter: Ah. Not a basketball fan, what happened?

President Dietz: Well, a person’s name was associated with that, and they found out that they had plagiarized while they were in school, and all that became very public, and they ended up taken the name off of the facility.

Senator Kalter: Actually, I should say that I am a basketball fan; I just don’t have time to follow it.

Senator Ferrence: There has been a fair amount recently, like I’ve seen some things in the Chronicle discussing the issues with Purdue Pharma and the OxyContin in academic institutions that have benefited financially, and what are the ramifications there, so. And that’s going on real time. People haven’t come to a consensus.

Senator Kalter: Stuff that I think is going on at Yale with renaming of halls because of the history of slavery, and that kind of thing, that’s then also a big deal. We can then get into future trouble with certain kinds of names. All right. If there’s nothing else on that one.

***08.06.19.02 From Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee: Proposed University Facility and Space policy (Information Item 11/6/19)***

Senator Kalter: We go to the proposed University Facility and Space Use policy, and that’s David again.

Senator Marx: All right. So this one comes out of the Task Force that met last year. The idea here is to combine all of these facility and space use policies into one policy that contains links for people that need to contact officials or get information to apply for use of facilities and so forth. Those links are presently not in the policy, despite my best efforts to get them to tell me what those links are. What I now know is that they intend to create perhaps a single webpage, which is what I really hoped for, that everybody that’s interested in using a facility can go to one page and can get all the necessary information there. There are two of these policies that are included for deletion: the Use of the Grove Street Property and the Homecoming Float Storage. Apparently they don’t want to have Grove Street be available for use by groups or other outside entities because it is a residential area. And I did not get an answer about the Homecoming Float Storage but my guess is that they don’t have space for Homecoming Floats, whatever, but nevertheless they want to delete that part. There is one addition that I got from Chuck Scott a couple of days ago, and I checked with my committee and they’re fine with it, and that is on the third page, number seven, the written authorization is indicated as coming from the Director of Facilities Management, and Chuck Scott recommended it be the person in his position that gives that authorization, and we are happy with that.

Senator Kalter: It says here Vice President for… We just got an announcement about the…

Senator Marx: Right.

Senator Kalter: They’ve found Chuck’s replacement. Yeah. I can’t recall the person off the top of my head, but we just got that announcement. So the Associate Vice President for something, something.

Senator Marx: Facilities, I forget his full title.

Senator Kalter: Facilities Management…

Senator Marx: But I’ll have that for the meeting. I’ll mention that at the Senate. I think that’s about it. Now I did go through this policy, and it did seem to include all of the things that are in these other policies, it’s just been put all into one space, and I think that’s a great thing. We made no changes to this as it is.

Senator Kalter: That was one of my questions. Whether you may have changed anything after…

Senator Marx: There may be some…Yeah.

Senator Kalter: In other words, Brent and the committee…

Senator Marx: I don’t believe so. If we did it was a punctuation, or an additional word, or something like that, but no, no real changes to it.

Senator Kalter: Anybody have anything?

Senator Nikolaou: I have a small one on page five, probably it should be 4. Third Parties instead of D. Third Parties. Because it is 1, 2, 3.

Senator Kalter: Page 5. Oh, I see.

Senator Marx: That is correct.

Senator Nikolaou: Page 5 at the bottom. Because it is General Provisions, Sales or Solicitations, Sales or Solicitations, and then Third Parties. So it should be 4, I’m assuming, instead of D.

Senator Horst: Oh.

Senator Marx: Maybe not. Let me see.

Senator Nikolaou: Yeah. Because these are the whole section.

Senator Kalter: Yes. I think, that’s my recollection since I was the last one standing from the Senate on the committee. That that was intended to be a 4 (four) rather than a D.

Senator Marx: Oh. I see. So it’s still on that same section?

Senator Nikolaou: Yeah.

Senator Marx: Okay. I read it as being a new section, so that’s fine. We can change that easily.

Senator Nikolaou: And the 3, the first bullet… the same page. At the end of the first bullet point, you just need a space so that the link can actually be activated. Where it has the <https://conferences.illinoisstate.edu/scheduling/> just it needs a space so that the actual link can be activated.

Senator Marx: You want that to be an active link?

Senator Nikolaou: Because everything else is an active link.

Senator Marx: Oh, yeah.

Senator Nikolaou: So right now, if you go on the electronic version…

Senator Marx: Oh. Like the Ethics Act, is an active link, right?

Senator Nikolaou: Yeah.

Senator Kalter: Where are you?

Senator Nikolaou: Page 5, 3, the first bullet point.

Senator Marx: There’s a Conference Services webpage.

Senator Kalter: First bullet point. Oh, I see what you’re saying. I see.

Senator Marx: We can make that an active link. They’ll all eventually be active links, and as I said, that will probably be replaced by a single URL that’s going to be used for all of these things.

Senator Nikolaou: And then on page 7 under 6 Enforcement of Policy it should be to the Code of Student Conduct.

Senator Marx: Ah. Yeah.

Senator Nikolaou: Instead of the Student Code of Conduct.

Senator Marx: Uh-hum. Very good. Sharp eye.

President Dietz: I’m very pleased that this is coming before the Senate. On page 2, the fourth paragraph, it talks about specific terms and conditions, and all the things in that, frankly, I think if we would have been able to share that with the student groups, perhaps some of the discussion around the Black Homecoming Committee may have been resolved before it became a big issue. But I’m glad to see that this will become a resource for everyone to refer back to, to say are we meeting all these criteria versus each facility having its own set of standards.

Senator Marx: Right.

Senator Kalter: The committee saw a chart or a table of how they differentiate between an event that has up to (whatever it was) 25 people, 25 to 100, 100 to 250 (or something like that). I don’t know… we at some point (the committee) decided that that was more on the procedure than on the policy level, but might be worth at least having a link to that. And I don’t remember whether that was specific to the Bone or whether it was across all venues.

Senator Marx: As I recall, that was created for the Bone and that they intended to…

Senator Kalter: To make it cross over. Yeah.

Senator Marx: Lend it to the other venues. I was really surprised that the requirement for having police was specified as 1,500. To me, that’s too high. Anytime you have hundreds of people in a place, you really should have some kind of security. It doesn’t matter who’s meeting. Don’t you think? To me, that was really surprising.

President Dietz: To me, that’s probably an industry standard of sorts. I don’t know. But to me, this is progress that we can refer to as a resource for people who they’d be very well intentioned about all this, but this gives us something to say here’s the resource, here are the guidelines.

Senator Kalter: It deserves mentioning also that I believe that the President pulled this committee together because of the free speech issues.

Senator Marx: Uh-hum.

President Dietz: Yes. That was the original impetus.

Senator Kalter: Yeah. That we had fair and neutral standards and Legal was terrific about making sure that we were on the neutral side of that. Does anybody have any other? I have a couple of things.

Senator Horst: I’m just wondering how we’re going to present this? And there’s all these policies that are getting rolled into one policy, and I take your word that everything’s included, but usually we include the old policies when we’re creating a new policy, but it would be a lot of text to send out. So, I’m just wondering how we’re going to present it.

Senator Kalter: I had that same question, and I’m thinking at the very minimum we should send the two for deletion along with it, so that if the other ones are getting rolled in but those two are being deleted, people should see what is being deleted very specifically. Does anybody want to make an argument for seeing all of them?

Senator Nikolaou: I had in mind the Transfer Policy, which combined a lot of them, and it actually had the ones that were deleted, and how they were going into the new policy. So, I mean, these are much more policies compared to the Transfer one, but I didn’t know if we wanted to do something similar, or maybe have an executive summary saying that for 6.1.4 the main content is included under B, page two, paragraph that, if we don’t want to include the actual policy, because it’s going to be too long. So that at least we know where each of these policies is included in this new version.

Senator Kalter: That’s an interesting idea. I mean one thing that I was wondering is, beyond that, maybe the easiest way to include the non-deleted policies is when Cera sends out the packet to have just the links in the email, so that rather than just being on…

Senator Marx: These are active links.

Senator Kalter: Yes. But most people aren’t going to know, like, in other words, having them also in the email message itself. When you look at your packet, you’ll see a proposed Facility and Space Use policy, please be aware that you can look at the policies that it’s replacing at these links, or what have you. But that’s an interesting question, Dimitrios, whether they can map it, is basically what you’re saying.

Senator Horst: Or David could walk the Senate through it.

Senator Nikolaou: Yeah. Or yeah, that could be an option.

Senator Horst: Saying this is the text here is this old policy.

Senator Marx: Yeah.

Senator Horst: And then highlight anything that’s new. Because we usually know what’s new language. So if it’s just language that’s coming from one place and getting put in another that’s one thing, but if they’re crafting new language the Senators usually zero in on that with a little but more critical eye.

Senator Marx: Sure.

Senator Kalter: Alice may need to help you with that. And I was going to suggest that Alice, possibly Lisa, but I think Alice is going to be, Alice Maginnis is probably a better person to have, and then probably Brent, since he was chairing the committee, right, to come during the Information Session.

Senator Ferrence: Does it also make sense, since the first time it’s presented to full Senate, it’s for Information you can kind of gauge from the Information how much people really want to see hard copies of all these other things. I mean, if it seems like most people are like, yeah, we trust, sounds like it’s working, but if people are concerned, then you can between that Senate meeting and the next one at least provide a zip folder with all the policies in it.

Senator Horst: But I do think we need to know what’s new language, because that’s usually the thing that we question.

Senator Kalter: Scrutinize.

Senator Horst: Yeah.

Senator Kalter: I guess my question there… So let’s sort of summarize. We’ll have this policy and the two that are being deleted in paper form. We’ll have the links both in the email and on this sheet for the rest of it. Do we need the mapping that Martha’s asking for to be in writing, or would writing be too confusing, and it’s better to have it in a combination of David and Alice’s comments? In other words, Martha, are you asking basically for them to go back through this and highlight, like say in yellow, this is new language, like this is changed language or what have you? Is that what you’re asking for?

Senator Horst: Yeah. That’s something, what is new, and what is…

Senator Kalter: Just transferred.

Senator Horst: Yeah. I mean, I don’t think we need to know just underlining what is brand new language, versus this whole thing is coming from another… I mean, he could walk us through that. But we should know if there’s anything substantial that’s new. Because it’s vague right now.

Senator Kalter: Uh-hum. What I’m thinking of is time, and the Senate Bylaws, in the sense that we didn’t do that with the Senate Bylaws, right. Although a lot of that was rearranging, but that was a much longer document and we didn’t do that kind of highlighting, so I’m wondering if…

Senator Horst: No, but I did walk people through it and said this is old, this is new. He could just sort of walk us through, in A it’s all from this policy, in B the new language is in paragraph two.

Senator Kalter: And I don’t mean time on the floor of the Senate, I mean time between David and Alice having the time to do that in the next week and a half. So David what do you think?

Senator Marx: I’m trying to remember. I have from the free speech task force, I have the original markup of this, and I think maybe at some places it might indicate something, but I have to look at it as to where it came from. But I could check with Alice to see if she has that mapping already, that would be very nice. Otherwise, I could go through and do that. It’s fine by me.

Senator Kalter: Okay.

Senator Horst: I think it can just be general.

Senator Marx: Yeah. Not all that detailed.

Senator Horst: Yeah. Not like…

Senator Marx: Because we’re not going to take that much time on the floor.

Senator Horst: Just like here’s a new section.

Senator Marx: Yeah.

Senator Kalter: Okay. Just so that everybody knows, the Use of General Revenue Buildings and Facilities, and the Solicitation policy are Senate policies. All of the rest of them in that list were previously determined by some Executive Committee in some year to not be Senate policies. But this is now becoming a Senate policy because those two are incorporated into it. I had a couple of very small things. In C, on I think it’s page 3, it says “rights” instead of “right” and I think it’s at the end of the last sentence of the first paragraph. “The University also reserves the rights to establish additional requirements…” it should be just a singular “right.” I don’t think we need more than one right to establish requirements.

Senator Marx: Correct.

Senator Kalter: Do you see where I am?

Senator Marx: Yeah.

Senator Kalter: And then we don’t need to capitalize the word “food” on page 4.

Senator Marx: There are some strange capitalizations here and there.

Senator Kalter: Yes. I tried to make sure as the English department representative on the committee, and I caught every one except for that one. I don’t remember where that is on page 4, but it’s somewhere on page 4 there’s a capital F-o-o-d. And then I looked, just to make sure, I looked in the definitions just to make sure it wasn’t a specific definition of food. So apparently it’s the definition of food that is commonly accepted and understood. And then one of the things, and I could suggest this on the floor, but on page 7, I might suggest switching the order of the second and third paragraph under number 3. So first saying that ornament, paint, paint chalk, etc. are prohibited and then saying that washable chalk is allowed.

Senator Marx: Uh-hum.

Senator Kalter: Just so that people don’t jump to certain conclusions.

Senator Marx: Right. That makes sense.

Senator Kalter: And that’s all that I had.

***\*\*Approval of Proposed Senate Agenda for 11/6/19 – See pages below\*\****

***Proposed* Academic Senate Meeting Agenda**

**Wednesday, November 6, 2019**

**7:00 P.M.**

**OLD MAIN ROOM, BONE STUDENT CENTER**

***Call to Order***

***Roll Call***

***Chairperson's Remarks***

***Student Body President's Remarks***

***Administrators' Remarks***

* ***President Larry Dietz***
* ***Provost Jan Murphy***
* ***Vice President of Student Affairs Levester Johnson***
* ***Vice President of Finance and Planning Dan Stephens***

***Discussion Items: None***

***Action Items: None***

***Information Items:***

***04.02.16.01 From Faculty Affairs Committee: Policy 3.2.8 Sabbatical Leave policy Current Copy (Information Item 11/6/19)***

***10.11.19.06 From Faculty Affairs Committee: Policy 3.2.8 Sabbatical Leave policy Mark Up (Information Item 11/6/19)***

***10.11.19.05 From Faculty Affairs Committee: Policy 3.2.8 Sabbatical Leave policy Clean Copy (Information Item 11/6/19)***

***03.02.16.03 Policy 6.1.37 Facilities Naming Current (Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee)***

***10.24.19.01 Policy 6.1.37 Facilities Naming Mark up (Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee)***

***10.24.19.02 Policy 6.1.37 Facilities Naming Clean copy (Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee)***

***08.06.19.02 Proposed University Facility and Space policy (Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee)***

***Consent Agenda Items:***

***Academic Affairs Committee: Senator Nikolaou***

***Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee: Senator Marx***

***Faculty Affairs Committee: Senator Crowley***

***Planning and Finance Committee: Senator Mainieri***

***Rules Committee: Senator Seeman***

***Communications***

***Adjournment***

Senator Kalter: All right. Terrific. We move to the approval of the proposed Senate Agenda. Do we have a motion to approve?

Motion by Senator Nikolaou, seconded by Senator Ferrence, to approve the Senate agenda.

Senator Kalter: So we’ve got the Sabbatical policy, which we saw last time. We’ve got the Facilities Naming. We’ve got the University Facility and Space policy, let’s make sure that there’s a “Use” in there, Cera, where it says Space Use policy. And then everything else is as it usually is, right. Do we have anything else we may need to add or subtract?

Senator Horst: Are we renaming the Facilities Naming, didn’t you say Entities?

Senator Kalter: Is that a friendly amendment? Do you need to run that by your committee?

Senator Marx: Oh. I’m going to run all of these suggestions by them, and I’m thinking that that will probably be accepted as a friendly amendment.

Senator Kalter: Okay. We’ll wait on you to find that out, alright?

Senator Marx: Uh-hum.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Senator Kalter: Excellent we have a meeting. Do we have any other Oral Communications, or do we need a motion to adjourn?

President Dietz: Can I make one comment? Back up, when you were talking about Isaac Hollis’ memo to the Academic Senate, response to that, and so forth. We’ve had one meeting of the smaller group, and I think that was very productive meeting. So we haven’t… we’ve talked about some of the specifics of that, but I think all of us have committed to continuing those meetings and we’re setting up the next one here relatively soon. This will become probably a part of all of that, but I think there was really good discussion at the last one, including that memo, but whatever Academic Senate decides to do with that, it’s Academic Senate’s business. But we are having good discussions around all of the issue that were identified, both at the last Academic Senate meeting, and around some of the demands, but that hasn’t really been talked about specifically in terms of answering all of those, but it’s more of a global conversation. We now have some pretty specific kinds of things that we’re working on. Samiat, I don’t know if you want to add anything to that, but just FYI, I guess. We’re optimistic about future progress on that.

Senator Solebo: Yeah. I second what he says.

Senator Kalter: Terrific.

President Dietz: We need some time to work, I guess, is what I’m trying to say

Senator Solebo: Uh-hum.

Senator Kalter: I put that on my thing at the very last minute, because Cera was typing up minutes, and said, by the way, you mentioned, she said to me, you mentioned at the last Exec, oh, we haven’t really talked about Isaac Hollis’ memo, and then we got onto another topic and never did talk about it. So I just wanted to make sure that we had it on our radar screen that we, if we still want to discuss it, it’s still out there.

President Dietz: And Isaac is a part of that group.

Senator Kalter: Yes. Terrific.

**Adjournment**

Motion by Senator Campbell, seconded by Senator Horst, to adjourn.

Senator Kalter: I just want to say, this is a record-breaking Senate year to have these half hour meetings. This is wonderful at Exec.

The motion was unanimously approved.