**Academic Senate Executive Committee Minutes**

**Monday, August 18, 2025**

**Hovey 419, 4:00 P.M.**

***Call to Order***

Chairperson Bonnell called the meeting to order and declared quorum.

***Public Comment: All speakers must sign in with the Senate Secretary prior to the start of the meeting.***

None.

***Approval of the minutes from*** [***4-14-2025***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EUDI72AnArlNhcM_K37_eEgB6pV9MOTf1zzBymokTjPmNg?e=iBeqfB) ***and*** [***4-28-2025***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EREUCrZx8RxOi7W0-MrN-iABHokEXCoxbyhZoXI3D235Qg?e=eOVc5T)

Motion by Senator Susami.

Second by Senator Sweedler.

Unanimous approval.

***Oral Communications:***

***Coursedog Curriculum Software***

Chairperson Bonnell: The curricular management and catalog software, Coursedog, is being implemented. Because of that we won’t have any, until mid-September, consent agenda items. If you are wondering where all of the consent agenda items went, those won’t be happening until Coursedog is officially implemented.

The second communication is that Kevin has requested to work remotely one day a week, that would be Fridays. We will be working to make sure that the Senate office is successfully open on Fridays in person and electronically and that will begin as of this Friday. We have done all of the steps that we needed to do, for that to happen.

***Distributed Communications:***

[***Executive Committee Responsibilities 2025***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EXmI6vNsi_5GlcpxXPaBxSgBGoGrM-CDQSLXhTAsrXizaQ?e=bB7h1C)

Chairperson Bonnell: Several years ago, past senate chair Martha Horst created a document describing what the Executive Committee does, how we do it, and where our authority comes from. At this meeting I am going to follow Martha’s lead and share some of that. There is a document that is linked. I am not going to read through that, but I do want to point out some different items as an overview. We want to start on the right foot.

The main thing we do as an Executive Committee is we make an agenda. We set the agenda and in the process of making the agenda we decide what we think is ready to go on the agenda or what is not. Those are some of the considerations we will have. The second thing- we also review items and determine whether or not we would like them to move forward to our internal senate committees. As items come into the Senate through the Senate Action Request, or SAR, system, we will review those items and determine if we would like to forward them on to the internal committees or not. They will go through the committees and come back to us, and then we will decide whether they will be ready for an agenda.

The third item- we also decide whether or not we think something is ready to go into the information and then action stage. There are a variety of ways that can happen.

We also have Consent agendas which I just mentioned. Those are routine procedural things that don’t need the deliberate debate that we would normally go through.

The fifth item is we look at the issues pending list which is what the internal committees are assigned. We can shift those things around between committees as is practical. That is one of those things that we did at the end of the spring semester. We took a look at what was out there and shifted things around where it made sense by committees. In that there are a couple specific points. As the Executive Committee, we are the managers of the committees, and we determine where things should go within the descriptions of those committees and the bylaws. Another item is the issues pending list is sometimes referred to as the Kuali to-do list. After approval by the Senate, it is enacted by the President with a signature.

Whether it is the Kuali to-do list, or the issues pending list, or the Senate Repository, please know that Kevin is willing and happy to meet with anyone if you want to learn about how those items move from one place to another. Last year we had a separate meeting for that. I felt I was not up to the task, and I was going to break something, but once you have somebody talk to you about what is really going on, I was making it into a bigger deal. It is a really nice system. This is a new system that began last year. The fifth item is, sometimes things will automatically go off the issues pending lists and that is just part of the process. If we want something to go off in the middle of the process, we still have the option to do that. We can make that determination.

The sixth item is that we have a Faculty Caucus Executive Committee. We will be meeting after this.

The last thing is we have a policy list. We maintain a list of policies that shall be Senate and those things that will not be Senate policies. That is policy 10.2. That is something that we can decide, on occasion, that something should not be a Senate policy. There are other items that aren’t Senate policies, but they maybe advisory, so if something changes, we get to hear about it. I think a lot about our jurisdiction. We are not managing the whole world; we are doing our slice of what we should be doing. Most of the authority is through the Academic Senate bylaws.

[***Presentations for Senate 2025-2026***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/Ef7vQt2kwMNKh8H9RV9pNscBTrBETwgH8EXXiph9-25AUA?e=BX2rb0)

Chairperson Bonnell: Some of these came through a list that was developed last spring. When you took a look at that list, some of these things are recurring- they happen every year. Other things are different. I am looking forward to “Generative AI: the Adaptive Edge Institute and the AI Steering Committee.” I think that would be great to listen to. When you looked at this list did you have any thoughts about it? Are we missing things?

Senator Stewart: This happened a few years ago because of some incidents on campus, but I wonder about occasionally having some kind of report on mental health and mental health services on campus, especially as it pertains to access for students.

Chairperson Bonnell: I like that idea. You can’t do enough to focus on that for the whole campus community. At the Campus Communications Committee in May there were updates on that. I learned there is something in Watterson called the “Wiggle Room”? It is a quiet space for someone to be not in their dorm room with a roommate.

Senator Susami: I think there is a reflection room, but that is all I can think of.

Senator Stewart: Do you remember if that room is accessible to all students, or just Watterson students?

Chairperson Bonnell: I think it was accessible to all students. I don’t know that anyone asked, but maybe it was faculty and staff too. I like that idea. Thank you, Todd.

Senator Nikolaou: We have one left from last year when Martha emailed us. She was asking if we want to have a presentation about micro-credentials.

Chairperson Bonnell: Thank you for saying that. We can look into that as well. You will see that the Athletics Budget Report will be at our first meeting. Kevin tried last year but Athletics Director Jeri Beggs is a really busy person, so it is hard to get her Wednesdays free. We were glad that she was willing to present at the Wednesday meeting. One of the things related to that is, you will see that the Athletics Budget Report is a review by the Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee. They reviewed that last year. The Rules Committee reviews the Athletics Council’s Bylaws. The University Policy Committee reviews their reports. In our Exec meeting on December 2, 2024, the University Policy Committee had given to Exec the Athletics Council Annual Report from 23-24. At that time Chair Horst said, “This is for our information. We can forward it when Jeri Beggs comes in.” Of course, she didn’t come in last year. The question is, it is not an information item, it is an advisory item. When you take a look at that report, it is a 19-page single-spaced report. Is this something we could also include there that Director Beggs would talk about or not? I am of mixed minds on that because it is an awful lot of information to digest and then for her to be discussing. Are we ok with not including that? Alright, that won’t be part of it.

Provost Yazedjian: I thought, maybe for further discussion at a different time, is the Salary Report. Every year we present it, and no one is happy with it. This year, in terms of Faculty Caucus with new salary processes related to the union, I don’t know if this is as relevant or if we should consider revising what we are presenting.

Chairperson Bonnell: In the past it has been AVP Gatto who shared that. We have this in January, but he will be retiring. This would be the person who replaces him in that position.

Provost Yazedjian: And they will have started 20 days before.

Chairperson Bonnell: It could be a good opportunity too to meet the faculty and learn what their concerns are.

President Tarhule: Salaries are now contractually negotiated in the back-end process. Is there a point to discussing it? In the past it was what administration decided. In this case it is all back-end. Would it still be relevant?

Senator Blum: I would say there is a different context. I don’t know that it is irrelevant. Some of the information you present is informative. In terms of contractual amounts, is it all going to continue to be union and with the bargaining unit? You do get to hear a voice that is Faculty Caucus and what they think. I realize some of them are past discussion, but at the same time you guys have started to include things about gender. Even if it is the bargaining unit that is going to make all the decisions about it, not everyone is in the bargaining unit. Letting the larger caucus at least hear and react…

Provost Yazedjian: Right. It is presented at Faculty Caucus, so most of those people will be, I guess what I would say is the old way is less relevant. We would just have a discussion about how it can be modified with an understanding that in this transition year, we are going to have new things moving forward. The reflecting back may be not as applicable, but just so everyone understands that we are in this transition year.

Senator Blum: Even beyond that. It is all going to be in a different context. Maybe we think about what would be right for this context.

Chairperson Bonnell: I think you will hear that in probably every meeting that we are in a transition year. I like the idea of taking a look at what we are doing, why we have done it in the past, and how we will do it in the future. Maybe this would be a year where people are happy and excited. You never know. I like the idea of doing something that reflects where we are now. For those of who don’t know, it is almost always the same types of tables created by PRPA. It is not the person in that position creating these tables, but the people who crunch the numbers. We can consider that, but that might not be the best timing so maybe we can move that.

Provost Yazedjian: We can go back and review the decision point, but I think in the optional presentations with the Vidette, I think we clarified that, and it was more of a practical issue than a conceptual issue about what was happening. There were some concerns about reporting and oversight, and I think we clarified that at the end of the semester if I recall.

Chairperson Bonnell: At the Academic Planning Committee, what we saw was a draft in May. That draft was later corrected and there was some confusion about that. I found it fascinating to see what was going on. I agree that it wouldn’t be that anything wrong was happening, it was just for information. I agree with what you are saying.

The other thing is the Budget Model update. If there are going to be sessions throughout the semester on that, I don’t know. I don’t want to duplicate those things. It is something we can keep in mind.

President Tarhule: There will be open sessions and town hall sessions about it.

Chairperson Bonnell: I attend everything I can and every time I learn something new.

[***Memo - 1.7 Use of Electronic Equipment for Surveillance Purposes***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Ab%3A/r/sites/AcademicSenate/Academic%20Senate%20Sharepoint/SUB%20-%20Executive%20Committee%20of%20the%20Academic%20Senate/2025.08.18%20Exec/Linked%20Documents/2025.07.01%20Surveillance%20Equipment%20Memo.pdf?csf=1&web=1&e=DT9UIr)

Chairperson Bonnell: This is something that happens every year. President Tarhule, do you want to share anything about the 1.7 memo?

President Tarhule: I don’t know if there is a law that says we have to let you know that this information is being collected. It is one of those things that says you have to let people know. We send a reminder every year to let folks know that this kind of surveillance is taking place.

Chairperson Bonnell: 1.7 is with the Planning and Finance Committee, they will be reviewing that. Maybe next year it will be different.

[***Internal Committee Priorities Memo 25-26***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/ERT48w2nmQZKlntuW9noulUBa879W0iKTtx2p0bzidfchQ?e=JFtqBs)

Chairperson Bonnell: I spent the better part of the weekend looking at this. I am going to read from something, and it might not make sense, but hold off on your questions until the end. Is that ok?

I followed the template from the previous years and what is included is based on Exec’s decisions made in the spring. Referring back to the Exec responsibilities, #2 Senate Action Request SAR, #5 outstanding items in the issues pending list from 24/25, and #7 the Senate policies list and the general 5-year cycle. Not included are the Policies, Bylaws, and Constitution that need to be reviewed based on language in the United Faculty ISU Collective Bargaining Agreement ratified back in April. Where do they fit in with the priorities? I’ll refer back to #7, that the Executive Committee decides what things are Senate or non-Senate. It doesn’t include the non-Senate policies, though some, we are notified since they are advisory. Another thing is during May’s Faculty Caucus; we created the URC+ group to align ASPT with the CBA.

There are two issues:

1.Mix-up

There was a mix up with the text of the Senate’s Bylaws. Last year there were a number of edits to Senate’s Bylaws. Those changes were handled separately in a number of Senate meetings thanks to the good work of the Rules Committee. Exec decided that when all had been discussed and approved by Senate, the Senate’s Bylaws in its entirety would then be routed through Kuali to be sent to the President for his signature. In one of those revisions, the language was different from the Information to the Action Stage. There were no changes made from the Information to Action stage with the Faculty Affairs and Governance Committee. The text we voted on was actually different. The text had reverted back to having five members not six and with the decrease from four tenure-line faculty to three.

Those changes included edits to Appendix II B specifically the Faculty Affairs Committee. In that section we changed its name, we changed the membership of faculty members, and we changed its functions.

2.Kuali

Exec responsibilities in #5. After the Senate approves something, it is then signed by the President. Office of the General Counsel in late July shared that they were not moving this to the President for his signature. It has not been signed. Why? The note in Kuali was that OGC had issues with App II B FAC functions numbers 8, 10, 11, and 12 for the Committee’s functions, but the specifics were not shared. I tried reaching out to Deputy General Counsel Weedman without success.

It wasn’t until today that I was able to have a meeting with General Counsel and AVP Gatto and Rick where we finally learned what was going on. That meeting ended at 2pm and I have had from 2 to 4 to think about these things. What I really hoped for was that we could employ the motion to amend something previously adopted. I was hoping for something simple and elegant. While the Office of General Counsel agreed that those could work, there was another factor that was involved that came from AVP Gatto. He was hoping for additional language somewhere. He said it didn’t necessarily have to be in our document, but that might be something that could be part of one of the workload policies maybe at the school/department level. That is what we have. I was hoping I was going to have a really nice solution, and we could do this through a motion to amend something previously adopted employing this idea that we could also fix that part one where we don’t have the name right, we don’t have the number right, and the functions aren’t the same. I will say there are some other corrections in there. We point to 3.12 for details, but we actually got rid of that policy. We don’t have an Ombuds Council. There are many changes here.

Senator Blum: It has to go back to Senate; we can’t do it here. Rules can do it and then put it before Senate, but it can’t be done here.

Chairperson Bonnell: The last thing that I didn’t say, one of the things you can employ if the President doesn’t sign something is invoking the Memorandum of Understanding. This is a really important document. No one wanted to invoke that because that is a really big deal. This doesn’t rise to the scope of that, so we were all on the same page. I think that is a good thing.

Provost Yazedjian: It seems like there are two issues. One- just the simple approval about the name change, and the number of people. Then there are the more substantive revisions.

Senator Valentin: Initially, we did the membership change. Then the name change and the additional changes.

Provost Yazedjian: The error was on the number of people? Could we just take care of the number part?

Senator Blum: That is up to Rules.

Chairperson Bonnell: I want language that makes sense. This is what we talked about in the meeting. The world that we live in right now is not the world we had back in the fall. We didn’t know what the CBA would say, we didn’t know what the workload documents would say. AVP Gatto mentioned that there is an opportunity there. It has got to go back to Rules. There is the question about what is minor with a motion to amend. That type of motion is meant for simpler things.

Senator Nikolaou: Is what we see here what went to the President for approval? It is the one we see right now?

Provost Yazedjian: This is what was voted on.

Senator Nikolaou: You mentioned they had issues with 8, 10, 11, and 12. Do we know what were the issues they had? Is it an easy fix or something not as straightforward.

Chairperson Bonnell: Dennis agreed with the suggested language I had which mirrored language elsewhere that it would be external and internal, instead of university committees.

Senator Nikolaou: Do we know the issue they had with item 8?

Chairperson Bonnell: It was the scope. We needed to clarify what they were actually doing. Last fall there was an idea that there would be a Committee on Committees. I feel like the Senate has enough to do already, I can’t imagine trying to figure out all the committees everywhere on campus.

Provost Yazedjian: 9 is not a problem because it talks about internal and external Senate committees. If you contrast that to 11, “review the functions of other university committees on a rotating basis…” that sounds like it is any university-level committee. That is the same with 8. It really is noting that what they are doing all of these things for are for internal and external committees of the Senate.

Senator Nikolaou: I can see that for 11. For 8, it starts with “All Senate policies…” since they are Senate policies they are associated with those university committees.

Provost Yazedjian: Why not say, “review all policies that impact Senate committees?” That one I agree is not as murky, but it is still unclear.

Senator Nikolaou: It would be helpful if we knew the specifics. If they say it is the scope…

Provost Yazedjian: It says, “consider proposals for the creation or dissolution of university-level committees. For example, the RISE Taskforce, the way this is written, it would mean the RISE Taskforce might go through the Senate. The President did engage the Senate, but that doesn’t have to happen for every single university-level committee.

Senator Blum: It shouldn’t be for administrative-rank committees, it is not the purview of the Senate. That is the purview of the administration to conduct their business. Our purview is Senate-related material. Rules can work on wordsmithing the language and cleaning up these issues.

Senator Nikolaou: No, because we have voted on it. It is with the President now.

Senator Blum: In the first meeting we could explain the situation and have a motion to rescind.

President Tarhule: I think there may be a need to take another look at this. I don’t know what the actual procedure would be, but other concerns here are that there is an implication on faculty salaries in the language that is nuanced. Craig’s [Gatto] concern is that this specific language could now create overload and additional compensation for faculty members under the new CBA without dean’s consent. There are a number of things we are already dealing with where Senate policies have created compensation issues for us that nobody on Senate could have anticipated. I now have cases where we are paying people that we really shouldn’t be paying because of the way Senate language is written. I suggest we find a way to get to the core of these concerns and find the right language that accommodates what the Senate wants to do. Listen to the concerns from Craig and Dennis and find the right words to accommodate both of their concerns. I am suggesting we don’t rush to clear this. It sounds like there are more foundational issues involved.

Senator Blum: Procedurally, it gets on the agenda, you explain that we need a motion to rescind. The President can’t sign it, so it needs to go back to Rules to we can fix it. We rescind what was voted on, once that is done it goes back through the regular order. We can fix these questions that Criag Gatto has and so on.

Senator Nikolaou: The motion to rescind is going to be much more clear compared to a motion to amend something already approved. We did that last year, but it was just adding a sentence where we added an effective date. If we are changing the content now, it might be easier to just do the motion to rescind. Rules could quickly do the membership.

Senator Valentin: We put the membership change through and voted on that in the fall. Then this with the error in membership reverts the membership changes. In theory, we already did the membership change and I don’t know if it has been sent.

Senator Blum: I don’t know how it was presented. Was it presented as a markup like this with the 3? When it was voted on, was it presented like this? It was this exact thing, so they did vote on the change. You will have to rescind that.

Kevin Pickett: Rescinding this should fix the membership problem.

Senator Valentin: My understanding is that the idea here was massive changes to Appendix II, not just Faculty Affairs. The idea was we were going to compile those and then send them. Is that what happened?

Chairperson Bonnell: The way I understand it, different attorneys received different parts. Dennis didn’t sign off on this one, but there were probably other attorneys who did sign off on the other pieces and those did move forward.

Senator Valentin: Did the fall revision from Faculty Affairs that had the membership change?

Chairperson Bonnell: That did go through.

Kevin Pickett: That should be what is standing on our website right now with 6 members.

Provost Yazedjian: If you rescind the whole thing, you actually rescind the 3 and you go back to 4. He is correct.

Chairperson Bonnell: This is something we will add to the agenda. We will get to that in just a moment. Are there any other thoughts people have about this? There are so many texts that we need to be aware of. When you think about the University Workload Policy, I have not seen that and the CBA plays into this, I feel this will be our year of such things. We have our work cut out for us. Thank you again for your eagle eyes and your good thoughts.

[***Priorities Report: College of Engineering***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EV3VE-tijVtGsEqlnzRPjXsBPvbv5jfxarl_IK9HkbDMEA?e=EOVSr5)

Chairperson Bonnell: The last among the distributed communications refers to the Planning and Finance Committee’s 2024-2025 Priorities Report. On page 11 what we have is, “We request that the University Space Planning Committee provide an updated report sharing their plans for the 1709 GE Building in the fall of 2025 and engage the Academic Senate fully in their deliberations regarding these plans for the 2025-2026 Academic year. The Academic Senate’s Executive Committee will schedule that report at the start of the Academic year.” This is language that we had, and I want to pull this one out because we talked about the Senate presentations. I was on Planning and Finance last year and I really was impressed with all the work that has been done for the College of Engineering. This was for me, something that was meant to be a good thing. When I read that language to you, this is reaching out to someone and saying, “Can you produce a report?” We said that we would do it, are you ok with us doing this? What is the scope of what they will be doing? What will that presentation look like? Are you ok with me just working with the committee led by Mike Gebeke and asking for that presentation and seeing what his response is?

President Tarhule: As you are thinking about the portion of the facility dedicated to Engineering, or the entire property?

Chairperson Bonnell: That space is incredible and one of the buildings will predominately be used by the College of Engineering, but ultimately the other building will be used for mixed-use, if I am using that phrase correctly. When I went there, that space is fantastic. Even though it is two miles outside campus, I think a lot of people will want to be there and these plans are important.

President Tarhule: There is a construction approval going on for the part that is Engineering. Is this to get a report on the progress of the construction or is it about getting a report on the planning for what would be on the other part of the property that has not been allocated?

Chairperson Bonnell: I think the larger intent is all of the plans for the future. It would be nice to hear how the construction is going.

President Tarhule: That is still in progress. There isn’t a plan yet. If you were to request the plan for all of the facility, it doesn’t exist. We are still in the process of trying to figure out what we are going to use that space for. My request would be, if there is no urgency, to hold on until there is some actual substance that can be presented to you. I’m sure the group would be happy to do that, but if they come right now, there would just be the concepts and no substance.

Chairperson Bonnell: That is really helpful, thank you. I do think about people’s time, like the time it takes to create a report. Maybe it would be contacting them and asking and putting it out into the future for when they do have something.

President Tarhule: I would undertake to say that as soon as the cabinet feels that we have a plan, even the concept of a plan, we will come to present what that is. Right now, we don’t. We are thinking about some ideas, but we are in the process of how do we end up with a plan that we know we can count on in terms of what are we going to use that space for? Including when and how much and so on. We don’t have one yet.

Chairperson Bonnell: I like that plan. We said we would do it, so I will work them even if we are not necessarily scheduling that report. I feel good about that.

***\*\*Approval of Proposed Senate Agenda–See pages below\*\****

Motion to amend the agenda by Senator McHale.

Second by Senator Valentin.

Unanimous Approval.

Motion to approve the amended agenda by Senator Stewart.

Second by Senator Blum.

Unanimous approval.

***Senate Action Requests***

***0218 - College of Education’s College Council Bylaws (Dist. To Rules)***

The committee assigned this SAR to the Rules Committee

***0273 – Policy 3.3.5 Distinguished Professors (Dist. To FAC)***

The committee assigned this SAR to the Faculty Affairs Committee.

***0282 - Update Senate Bylaws to replace AVP for Research and Graduate Studies with AVP for Graduate Education and Internationalization Initiatives (Dist. To Rules)***

The committee assigned this SAR to the Rules Committee.

***0283 - Update ISU Constitution to include AVP for Graduate Education and Internationalization Initiatives (Dist. To Rules)***

The committee assigned this SAR to the Rules Committee.

***0284 – Update Policy 7.1.1 Significant Interest Disclosure (Dist. To Exec)***

The committee assigned this SAR to the Executive Committee.

***Adjournment***

Motion by Senator Blum.

Second by Senator Valentin.

Unanimous approval.

**Reception with the President**

**Wednesday, August 27, 2025**

**5:00 – 6:30 p.m.**

**President’s Residence**

**1000 Gregory Street**

**Normal, Illinois**

**\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\***

**Academic Senate Meeting Agenda**

**Wednesday, August 27, 2025**

**7:00 P.M. (hard stop at 8:30 P.M.)**

**OLD MAIN ROOM, BONE STUDENT CENTER**

***Call to Order***

***Roll Call***

***Public Comment: All speakers must sign in with the Senate Secretary prior to the start of the meeting.***

***Presentation:***

[***Athletics FY23-24 Budget Review***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Ax%3A/s/AcademicSenate/ER89JcjnRB5NvRkhLfowOcEBS1vxRBOAETD-E2R-eCfG5A?e=mXSIUZ)

***Jeri Beggs, Director of Athletics***

***Approval of the Academic Senate minutes of*** [***4-23-2025***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/r/sites/AcademicSenate/Academic%20Senate%20Sharepoint/ExecFCE/Exec%2025-26/2025.08.18%20Exec/Linked%20Documents/ab%20Academic%20Senate%20Minutes%202025.04.23.docx?d=w9f870e07c6dd42f7929ccdb0ca1ae4a5&csf=1&web=1&e=bp7Aev) ***and*** [***5-7-2025***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/r/sites/AcademicSenate/Academic%20Senate%20Sharepoint/ExecFCE/Exec%2025-26/2025.08.18%20Exec/Linked%20Documents/ab%20Academic%20Senate%20Minutes%202025.05.07.docx?d=we36e0740043a49be89723e9b9788136e&csf=1&web=1&e=KRzzmA)

***Chairperson’s Remarks***

***Student Body President’s Remarks***

***Administrators' Remarks***

* ***President Aondover Tarhule***
* ***Provost Ani Yazedjian***
* ***Vice President for Student Affairs Levester Johnson***
* ***Vice President for Finance and Planning Glen Nelson***

***Information Item***

***From Rick Valentin: Rules Committee***

***Athletics Council Bylaws***

[***Link to current bylaws***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EST17JPHbCtGmIsxmariOD0Blw62zagdBPt77nLyXMPX7A?e=OFFAiv)

[***Link to markup***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EVR8avi4bvpJsAW7MHq4wiIBhYXhOO1EECidpmyKhWDl5Q?e=ukgcZP)

***Information/Action Item***

***From Angela Bonnell: Executive Committee***

***Policy 7.1.1 Significant Interest Disclosure***

[***Link to current policy***](https://policy.illinoisstate.edu/fiscal/general/7-1-1/)

[***Link to markup***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EXNPnhAL2C1PkAuRqNmzyX4BzH8K3RNGzJRhbt7AaKNgpw?e=uuItkG)

***From Angela Bonnell: Executive Committee***

***Appendix II: Faculty Affairs Committee***

[***Link to current bylaws***](https://academicsenate.illinoisstate.edu/about/bylaws/#Appendix-Two)

[***Link to markup***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/%3Aw%3A/s/AcademicSenate/EcOfgwx77kFJvk_cDEhjpM0BP2nJNkdYJ4ddm0Vvj9Ol2Q?e=4AMVEu)

***Announcement of Chairperson and Secretary elected for Internal Committees:***

* ***Academic Affairs Committee***
* ***Administrative Affairs and Budget Committee***
* ***Faculty Affairs Committee***
* ***Planning and Finance Committee***
* ***Rules Committee***
* ***University Policy Committee***

***Communications***

***Adjournment***