**Academic Senate Executive Committee Minutes**

**Tuesday, September 03, 2024**

**Hovey 419, 4:00 P.M.**

***Call to Order***

Chairperson Horst called the meeting to order and declared a quorum.

***Public Comment: All speakers must sign in with the Senate Secretary prior to the start of the meeting.***

There was no public comment.

***Approval of the minutes from*** [***08-19-2024***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:w:/s/AcademicSenate/EfzwFdizAQxFmuDw2oKEp9YBYmagWudk2jY-LyEhi-J3Og?e=cnsEto)

Motion by Senator Blair

Second by Senator Kapoor

Unanimous approval

***Oral Communications:***

Motion to amend agenda to address issues related to policy 3.2.13, I, J from the Wonsook Kim College of Fine arts and other Provost requests related to policy 3.2.13, I, J.

Motion by Senator Cline

Second by Senator Nikolaou

Unanimous approval

***Discussion on adding projector and screen to Senate meetings***

The committee agreed not to add a projector and screen to the order for every Senate meeting.

***Distributed Communications:***

***From Chairperson Horst:***

***Ombuds Council policy discussion***

[***Ombudsperson Council Letter to President Tarhule***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:b:/s/AcademicSenate/ETH1PLL5djdIkKgTmeo-obQBXRZ5Z_JmgxlPk2P5E72cLA?e=O6TWnn)

Chairperson Horst: Our next item is the letter that we sent to President Tarhule with the consent of the Senate and the AP/Civil Service Council. Last year the Senate was very interested in having the University pursue a professional Ombudsperson. To do that we had to eliminate policy 3.2.12, because that talks about having an Ombudsperson Council. We didn’t want to delete the Ombudsperson Council until the President had hired an Ombudsperson. We said, “Delete the policy once you hire somebody, but don’t do it until then.” We passed this version of the policy that was all crossed out. The President has made two attempts at hiring an Ombudsperson, can you give us an update?

President Tarhule: We didn’t run it at the time because we anticipated candidates would like to know who the permanent president was. When I got elected, we ran it and had a good candidate, but we couldn’t close the deal, so I failed the search. I am about to restart my search and try again.

Chairperson Horst: The thing that is awkward is that this is from last year’s Senate, and we have never asked the President to hold something this long. We could pass a resolution that this year’s Senate again supports the strategy outlined in this letter and ask the President to hold off on deleting policy 3.2.12 until he has hired somebody to have a reaffirmation of that decision.

Senator Cline: We have an Ombudsperson Council while we are waiting?

Chairperson Horst: We do have an Ombudsperson Council. We have not deleted this policy yet because we told him to do nothing. I am feeling a little bit uneasy about this letter because it is from last year’s Senate, and it is getting rather old. We have a new Civil Service Chair, for instance. I am suggesting I could draft a resolution and we could put it on this agenda, saying the 24-25 Academic Senate supports the decision made by last year’s Senate and again ask the President to not confirm the changes made to policy 3.2.12 until he hires an Ombudsperson, then have it signed by the new Chair, Justin Lawson. A Sense of the Senate Resolution?

***Potential Senate Action Request for agenda***

[***Revise A.S. Bylaws Article VI***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:w:/s/AcademicSenate/Ec0Evdiyg6BGh5_GSVlY-voBWHRWRMVkgQ_xpRLT1ABkHA?e=0hLTov)

Chairperson Horst: We had a committee member who had an ADA accommodation and the person who was coordinating the committee was wondering if they could vote. We do have this kind of language about present voting members, “…shall be physically present and those permitted to join via video or audio conference.” We have that language in another part of the bylaws, but we did not include it in Article VI. I didn’t notice this until I took the question from this committee member inquiring about whether or not the person could vote. It is a bug in our bylaws, and it is an important one; because if someone has an accommodation, they should be able to vote, and it is not spelled out in our bylaws. Because of the Open Meetings Act, it is something that has to spelled out in our bylaws. Article VI is the bylaws for all the external committees except the ones that have special bylaws like CTE. I think for the Senate, we put it in Article V; but this is for the committees, and we forgot to put it in there. We could send it to Rules, or we could send it straight to the floor?

The committee agrees to send this item to the floor as an information/item.

***\*\*Approval of Proposed Senate Agenda– See pages below\*\****

Motion by Senator Kapoor.

Second by Senator Nikolaou

Senator Nikolaou corrected some of the administration’s titles in the Senate Agenda.

Senator Cline corrected the missing HLC Visit presentation.

The committee discussed the *Discussion on the Gen Ed Proposal* and decided to change it from a discussion to a presentation with Amy Hurd, Chris Horvath, and Cheri Simonds.

Motion to amend the agenda by Senator Edwards

Second by Senator Nikolaou

The motion passed with majority approval.

The agenda passed as amended with unanimous approval.

***Finalization of Internal Committee Reps from Administration***

Chairperson Horst mentioned to Provost Yazedjian that the AABC and Planning and Finance Committee still needed representatives from Finance and Planning. Provost Yazedjian stated that the Interim Vice President of Finance and Planning should be reaching out with their designations soon.

***Discussion of issues related to 3.2.13***

Chairperson Horst: This is coming Janet Tulley, who is an assistant dean with WKCFA. She says that the School of Creative Technologies, which is new, is having problems conducting a search for their director. CTK was a collection of faculty who had locus of tenure in Music or Theatre and Dance, or Art. Since then, they have created a school, but they haven’t officially transferred over the locus of tenure, so there is technically no faculty in CTK. When they look to Appendix B, which is the Wonsook Kim College of Fine Arts bylaws, to figure how to run this search, in Section II, it says, “Three tenured and one tenure-track faculty will be elected by the faculty of the school.” But there is no faculty. They are wondering what they can do. What they hope to do is to have us approve a modification to 3.2.13. Remember there is that one clause in 3.2.13 that allows the Executive Committee to approve modifications, and they are seeking this modification because they have this unusual circumstance of having a school with no faculty in it. They proposed a revision for Director Search Committee membership, which is linked with your material. The current bylaws say, “Three tenured faculty and one tenure-track that is elected by the faculty of the school.” According to the school bylaws, they don’t have that. I don’t think they even have bylaws yet.

Provost Yazedjian: That is another pickle. Who approves the bylaws for a department where there are no people with a locus of tenure?

Chairperson Horst: Let’s not go there. They are hoping that we can approve this modification where they would have two tenure faculty and one tenure-track faculty elected by faculty of the college as opposed to the school, and they have a requirement that they must have taught a course in the school where the search is occurring. They are defining CTK faculty broadly as people who have taught a course. Instead of 4 tenure/tenure-track faculty it is three, and then because there is this unusual circumstance where the faculty are representing different areas, they came up with this idea for an at-large rep from the college faculty. If you have all Music, then you can include somebody formerly from Art and soon-to-be with CTK. Instead of having one undergraduate and one graduate who are coming from the school, they came with this idea that they would be college council members. They currently have 4 undergrad and 4 grad, so they have a nice pool of interested students. That is the search committee membership modification that they are hoping we approve because they are in this unusual circumstance.

Senator Cline: Are they asking us to change 3.2.13? Or are they asking us to approve this modification for the purposes of this single search?

Chairperson Horst: The second one. They presented it in a confusing way. They presented it as a bylaws change, but it is not a bylaws change. That is where the agenda is wrong. This will be a modification or interpretation of 3.2.13 for this particular search only, so that they can run a search.

Senator Cline: Did we already approve something like this for Engineering?

Provost Yazedjian: We did it for their curriculum. We had the MOU with the UCC about who serves on the curriculum committees when there are no faculty.

President Tarhule: How did we constitute a search for Engineering? We’ve had faculty searches and hired some faculty in Engineering.

Chairperson Horst: Yes, I served as a faculty representative. They didn’t ask us. Wonsook Kim College of Fine Arts is asking us in particular. They are being very formal.

Provost Yazedjian: I think the reason they are being formal is that these are their college bylaws, and their college bylaws say it. With Engineering there were no bylaws.

Senator Cline: Is this then going to be in their bylaws forever?

Chairperson Horst: No, that is the part that is wrong. The college council created this structure, one time only, because they have this unusual circumstance that they technically have no faculty who have tenure.

Senator Cline: Thank you for that clarification. As a one-time thing it makes sense to me. As a perpetual one it is going to have problems.

Chairperson Horst: One thing I noted is that the current one has three tenured and one tenure-track, then for this they have two tenured, one tenure-track, and then the at-large rep. I might suggest that should also be a tenured faculty to make it parallel with what it is now. That mirrors what they have in Appendix B.

Senator Cline: I only wonder because NTT’s in that area are abundant. Maybe that was deliberate to bring in more people with expertise who aren’t on the tenure-track.

Chairperson Horst: It would be an at-large rep. Just looking at Appendix B, they have three tenured and one tenure-track.

Provost Yazedjian: Why don’t they have NTT reps on their search committees?

Chairperson Horst: They probably should, but it isn’t in their bylaws.

Senator Cline: This gives some flexibility for the short term.

Chairperson Horst: Do you want to just keep it at faculty and have them figure it out?

Senator Blair: I would say have them figure it out. Let them get through this and then look at the bylaws later.

Senator Nikolaou: Do they have both A/Ps and CS? That was another difference. In the appendix, they say one A/P and one CS. The way that they phrase the exception, it could be two A/P or two CS.

Chairperson Horst: It could be because a lot of A/P’s are moving to Civil Service, and they wanted to make it flexible. We could ask them to, whenever possible, make sure there is one of each?

Senator Nikolaou: It might be that was their intention and they decided to just condense it into one bullet point.

Senator Cline: We have a lot of people who are non-clerical people in the shops and things like that, so I don’t know whether they are CS or A/P.

Chairperson Horst: It sounds like we all support the proposed committee structure as an acceptable modification of policy 3.2.13 to deal with this particular search only. Yes?

Then we amended our agenda to help the Provost out. She had some particular questions regarding 3.2.13.

Provost Yazedjian: In 3.2.13 for the college deans, and this is specific to Milner, it says, “Two students majoring in programs within the college.” There are no students and majors in the library, so where do we get those students? Can they be students from any major? It also says, “A current or former department chairperson or school director from the same college.” So, there is that issue specific to Milner.

Senator Bonnell: The tenure-line caucus met today and we talked about those things. One idea is that the associate deans, one or the other, usually serve as the department chair for DFSC. There was the idea that we do have somebody who has been in that role who is now faculty, Chad Kahl. He had been associate dean, so we all thought he probably would be the person to do it. For students, we suggested that it could be student workers, which we have an awful lot of in the library. We also have a lot of Civil Service; there was a request in the past that we have two Civil Service in two of the three most recent dean searches. I think they switched something out somewhere.

Provost Yazedjian: Those are fine recommendations, and I was thinking about the associate deans, but it is not listed here. Another question that applies both to College of Education and Milner was the one at-large voting member. This is the first time that we are really using this language, so my question was does that mean the at-large voting member has to come from the college? For example, specific to CoE, I think it would be useful to have someone from one of the secondary programs sit on the dean search, but that would be from outside of the college. I didn’t want to assume that you could go outside of the college, so I wanted some clarification there. That would impact, similarly, Milner. Every college interfaces with Milner, so would there be value for a voting member from outside of the college?

Chairperson Horst: There is no language that limits it, so I think it is at the discretion of the Provost. Can we go back to the student, just to touch base with SGA? Is that acceptable that the pool of people, “…two students majoring in programs within the college chosen from a list of up to 8 names provided jointly from the college council and the Student Government Association.” Are you ok with it being a pool of student workers who work in Milner?

Senator Blair: I don’t see any issue with that.

President Tarhule: Why limit it to student workers? I think the library is a case where it serves the whole university. It is about representation and making sure students’ viewpoints are heard as you are trying to pick leadership. Since the library serves the entire student body, why limit it to student workers? Why not any student at the university?

Senator Bonnell: That was just our idea today when we were just talking amongst ourselves. What you are saying makes sense.

Senator Cline: What if we, in terms of changing the language of the policy, said something like, “Two students, preferably those majoring in programs in that college?” If you put it in the context of “preferably” that would release the library from that strict definition and also might help SGA who is sometimes struggling to pull names.

Senator Blair: I wouldn’t be opposed to that change. Eliminating that absolute requirement could be useful too, and it would fix the problem for the library. I think that would be fine at first thought.

Chairperson Horst: President Tarhule made the suggestion that it could be any student. Do you think Milner will support that?

Senator Bonnell: Yes, we love students. As you mentioned, sometimes it is hard to get students whose schedules would accommodate this. Any way that we can get student involvement would be great.

Senator Blair: Sometimes you need and benefit from that outside perspective as well.

Chairperson Horst: Going back to that question of Civil Service or A/P, I am reflecting on the discussion we had last year when we tried to merge them into the staff. One of the things they got hung up on was losing that identity of having a seat on a search committee for a Civil Service person and an A/P person. That was one of their sticking points behind the constitution change we did last year. They really don’t like the idea that they would be merged into one, so I am going to advocate to stick with the language the way that it is.

Senator Bonnell: The other thing I forgot to mention is that we don’t have that many A/P anymore. We were thinking one of the A/P’s might not want to serve on this search committee. When I say A/P, does this include A/P’s with faculty status? Which is limited to the two associate deans?

President Tarhule: It hasn’t happened yet, but A/P and Civil Service Council are working to merge, so they are going to become one anyway.

Chairperson Horst: They are going to try again?

President Tarhule: That is what they said.

Chairperson Horst: I just remember last year one of the particular things they singled out was they didn’t like the idea of losing representation.

Provost Yazedjian: That was new to me about the Civil Service. If I have flexibility to go outside the college for the at-large, we came up with the solution for the students, but did we talk about chair director? Did we say anyone who had an associate dean type role? Current or former is ok. Did we come to a decision about that? It is hard for me to know. Are there only three A/P’s and we are going to represent them through the chair or director and then there are 40 Civil Service?

President Tarhule: Most of the A/P’s in the library were converted to Civil Service.

Provost Yazedjian: How do those A/P’s feel, even if they are small in number, if they don’t get any representation in the search?

Chairperson Horst: I would support you making a visit to Milner.

Provost Yazedjian: I have one scheduled.

Chairperson Horst: As a member of this body, I will support whatever the Milner representatives go along with. I think we have hashed out some of the particulars with the students and the faculty, but I am uncomfortable making decisions about Civil Service and A/P’s. How will they feel? I would rather they say what they want.

Provost Yazedjian: Ok, thank you.

Senator Cline: I can meet with A/P and Civil Service leadership and ask if it is acceptable to combine this without reducing the number.

Chairperson Horst: Like we did with the Campus Communication Committee.

Senator Cline: Right, so they would still get two. We can state that the preference is to have one A/P and one CS, but if they don’t have adequate representation, they can find someone.

Chairperson Horst: For the modification and interpretation, everybody supports what the Provost said and we all support the Provost talking them and then determining the structure based on what the CS and the A/P people say, yes?

Senator Nikolaou: Question for that last part. The last part is not an interpretation of the policy, it is a change to the policy. If they come back and say they want three CS, are we going to be ok with that? The policy was approved to say a CS and an A/P. Three CS is something that might be feedback for Lea when she revises the policy, but it is not for right now, because we do have the policy, they have a CS and they have an A/P. There is no interpretation for that, that is what the policy says right now. The interpretation was for the chairperson and the students.

Chairperson Horst: We have the power to do a modification.

Senator Cline: Are you suggesting that if somehow the at-large voting person is a CS that would throw off the balance?

Senator Nikolaou: I am saying that if the Provost meets with Milner library they might say, “We want to have three CS people.”

Chairperson Horst: No.

Senator Nikolaou: The way we phrased it earlier was that would be fine.

Chairperson Horst: Right now it says, “A Civil Service employee and an A/P employee. I am suggesting we approve that the Provost can determine whether or not it should be two CS, or two A/P, or one CS and one A/P.

Senator Cline: Where available. If there is not an A/P person available…

Senator Nikolaou: That is what I am thinking. During the meeting let’s say only CS people are there and there is no A/P, then everyone in the meeting says, “We need to have two CS” when there would have been an A/P person who would want to serve. We don’t want to be in that situation.

Chairperson Horst: You are saying these categories should remain the same and we don’t modify them?

Senator Nikolaou: Because it is not interpretation.

Chairperson Horst: Modification, because of the situation that the President said, that most of the employees are now Civil Service.

Senator Nikolaou: That goes back to Lea’s comment that if there is no A/P, then it could be two CS.

Senator Cline: Yeah, in the absence of the ability to make balance.

Chairperson Horst: If the Provost has trouble identifying A/P’s they can nominate another Civil Service person?

Senator Nikolaou: Yes, something like that.

Provost Yazedjian: That is fine, but that is not what Angela is saying. Angela is saying that because there are so many Civil Service people, they would like to have more than one Civil Service voice on the committee, and apparently in the past that has happened.

Senator Cline: In lieu of a faculty?

Senator Bonnell: No, it was a trade-up for something else. The funny thing is this was my very first time I was a senator. In 2009 this came on the floor, so I was horrified because I had no idea what was going on. They traded out one of the other positions for a Civil Service. I would love to hear what you are going to hear in Milner’s council, because people might not want to.

Senator Cline: It might have been that at-large person.

Senator Nikolaou: The last time the Provost asked for a modification, it was for a specific reason that it wouldn’t work for that search. Now if we are saying that we are doing a dean search for Milner and we want to have more CS, the Exec cannot be changing the policy every time. If Milner wants to have more representation in specific areas, that should go into the policy, then we go through the process instead of Exec making the decision.

Chairperson Horst: You can always have an at-large Civil Service person if you can’t find an A/P person.

Senator Bonnell: In almost all of our search committees, we try to get an at-large faculty member from elsewhere on campus because we really appreciate that. For the one at-large voting member I think most of us would agree we would want a faculty member from another college. It is so important for the same reason you were talking about with the students.

President Tarhule: Yeah. I think of all colleges, Milner, I would argue, doesn’t need to follow a parallel process. Everybody on campus has an interest in what happens in Milner. This is one place where I would suggest it is wise to be as flexible as possible to have people from outside of the college be represented in the search.

Chairperson Horst: Is everyone agreeing with Dimitrios that we stick with the policy the way it is written – a Civil Service employee and an A/P employee so we don’t set a precedent that the Executive Committee can modify these rules that the Senate approved in 3.2.13? In the future we can have more flexible language for Milner.

Senator Bonnell: I agree, but I want to be clear. Could you say that last part one more time?

Chairperson Horst: In 3.2.13 G there is specific language for college dean searches. It spells out that there should be a Civil Service employee and an AP employee. I agree that I think we should stick with those categories, even though there are particular quirks to your structure right now, and move forward with creating more flexible language for Milner in particular in the future. As of right now, I think we should construct a search committee that has these two types of employees represented. A Civil Service employee and an AP employee. Does everybody agree with that?

Senator Edwards: I am not clear how many of each are in the library. Does it make sense for the library?

Senator Bonnell: I think about half of us are Civil Service and half are faculty when you include A/P faculty, tenure line faculty, and NTT’s.

Chairperson Horst: All good?

Provost Yazedjian: Thank you.

***Senate Action Requests***

* [***Academic Facilities Priority Report***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:b:/s/AcademicSenate/EY7ym7pjcoZEnfJZ0IPJeNoBiZ98esLePDqhPD832fHb9w?e=L0PK5r)

The Academic Facilities Priority Report can go straight to Planning and Finance and does not require action from the Executive Committee.

* [***4.1.18 Credit Earned through Transfer, Examination, and Prior Learning***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:f:/s/AcademicSenate/EoceXEZcLHZCpBRLfcgM7mABeddKGGEGX5E_x9me3svYGw?e=fWwzuv) ***(dist. AAC)***

4.1.18 is assigned to the AAC.

* [***Consider Editing Internal Committee Bylaws to Accommodate new Engineering senator***](https://academicsenate.illinoisstate.edu/about/bylaws/#Appendix-Two) ***(dist. Rules)***

Chairperson Horst: We have an extra faculty member, right now we have 29 seats and 30 faculty. The Rules Committee needs to figure out what to do with this extra faculty member. We also have this faculty associate seat that is never filled, so you might want to take out that specific language and make it more flexible. We did that with the Faculty Affairs Committee. They could add a faculty member to the University Policy Committee, to Planning and Finance, they could add another one to Academic Affairs. It sounds like something the Rules committee should hash out because it is a bylaws change. Any thoughts about where the extra faculty member should go?

President Tarhule: Is the extra faculty member specifically the Engineering faculty member?

Chairperson Horst: We added on faculty member to the Senate. We now have one other potential faculty and it is not clear where they should go.

President Tarhule: But it is specifically the Engineering position you want to address?

Chairperson Horst: No, we don’t place specific college faculty, but we just have one extra.

This bylaws item is assigned to Rules.

* [***Revised CTE Bylaws (dist: Rules)***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:w:/s/AcademicSenate/EQ87p74X-PdFjGgm-F0ki5YBCV6dtanrLZM8TkdRNRJZWg?e=qNJARA)

Chairperson Horst: Before this came to this body, I had a meeting with Christy Bazan who is chairing CTE, and I conveyed to her some of my thoughts on her edits. I asked her about replacing “faculty” with “faculty or staff.” I was nervous about it being any staff. I am nervous about taking faculty off the committee in general. She is going to consider putting “program directors” because they are thinking of particular people who are running teacher-education programs. That is a change that is pretty significant. They are going to consider our new attendance policy. I asked them when they say, “librarian” are they asking for an NTT or a TT Milner representative. I wasn’t sure what the “librarian” term meant. Also I was wondering what the Provost thought about their change making the chair elected from the body as opposed to a Provost appointee.

Provost Yazedjian: I know that traditionally the dean has been the chair of that committee. I would be open to hearing their arguments either way. I think it makes sense for the dean to be the chair of the committee, but what I have been told is that even when the dean was the chair, a lot of the work was done by people in the teacher-education center or Monica Norian. I think Christy Bazan probably had a better handle on the day-to-day intricacies of teacher-education than Jim Wolfinger would have had, and that is possible with the next dean that we have coming in as well.

President Tarhule: Do all committees have bylaws, or is CTE different in this regard?

Chairperson Horst: CTE is different because it is so complicated -- they have sub-committees. Any external committee of the Senate that starts going down into their own set of committees, like Athletics Council, typically has their own set of bylaws.

Provost Yazedjian: You can say that the dean is going to be the chair, but depending on their familiarity with teacher education and their ability to get in the weeds, there could be somebody else doing the work of that committee anyway. Wouldn’t we want to give that person the opportunity to chair the committee?

Chairperson Horst: I just wanted to make sure you were ok with it. You could get a CTE chair in there who has certain perspectives that don’t align with the administration. Of course, that is the way the Senate is done and that is the way the University Curriculum Committee is done. They wanted to be like everybody else, that is what they told me. The Senate gets to pick their chair, why can’t they? I don’t even think it says “faculty member” it just says “chair from the body.” I wanted to make sure that you were ok with that, because it is taking an appointee away from you. Also, they have a two-year term. They thought you couldn’t do the job unless you have a two-year term. The Senate Chair does not have a two-year term. At the very least, they need to make sure that they have language in there that says the chair has to be a current member. So if they get elected to a two-year term, but they are in their third year and then they are not on the next year, they can’t be chair anymore.

The Revised CTE Bylaws was assigned to the Rules Committee.

* [***Deans and Chairs/Directors evaluation schedule FY25-FY30 (dist: AABC)***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:x:/s/AcademicSenate/EcALjyEvP6lNhF9uDTRkKZMBR3GHsFag37SY4YXfK6QGEg?e=jhcxjm)

***(Should Senate continue to evaluate this schedule?)***

Chairperson Horst: We get this every year, and I don’t understand why we look at this. I’ve never been chair of the AABC. Do you think it is useful for the Senate to look at it?

Senator Nikolaou: We just double-checked it for years. Regardless of if it is going to stay with the Senate or not, it might be helpful to include for everyone the “interim” part that is in parentheses. For some of them, if you look at “appointed” and then you calculate the 5 years, it doesn’t accumulate to the cumulative year, but some of them had an interim and it doesn’t say it was interim, so it creates a complication. For Benton Duncan appointed should be FY24 instead of FY23.

Provost Yazedjian: I think George started the year before and then Benton started to fill in.

Senator Nikolaou: Yes, George was FY23.

Chairperson Horst: Should the Senate continue to proofread this document?

Senator Cline: Who is the author of the document?

Chairperson Horst: The Provost’s Office.

Senator Blair: I would say no, because I don’t know what we would point out and change. I don’t see why we need to review it as a Senate.

Senator Cline: Is the reason for the distribution to the Senate the fact of its publication? It comes to the committee and then it goes on the floor, then everybody sees it? Does it live online somewhere?

Senator Nikolaou: I don’t think we even sent it to the floor. We just said we reviewed it, these are a couple of comments, and that was it.

Chairperson Horst: I think we are doing the Provost Office’s work, frankly.

Senator Cline: I’m happy to not do it, but if the point was that it is then visible for people to know, “when is my dean going to get evaluated next?”

Chairperson Horst: If there was a question, we could always ask the Provost’s Office for the schedule. Can we stop reviewing this schedule?

President Tarhule: I’m wondering why? What do you get out of it?

Senator Nikolaou: If he started in ‘23 then the cumulative is wrong.

Chairperson Horst: So if you want the document proofed, send it to Dimitrios.

The committee decided that this schedule no longer needs to be approved by the Senate.

* [***~~WKCFA Bylaws Amendment~~***](https://illinoisstateuniversity.sharepoint.com/:w:/s/AcademicSenate/Ea7tZ01RwXlInui1m2x8w9YBXNPULWDEVW555iJSCFKbmg?e=lutrSi)

This item was covered in the discussion of 3.2.13 and was determined not to actually be a bylaws amendment.

President Tarhule: I just want to remind you about my nominees for my searches. Where do you discuss that?

Chairperson Horst: The Faculty Caucus. We have to approve that agenda.

President Tarhule: Am I going to get my nominees for my searches?

Chairperson Horst: You are going to get your nominees, yes.

The items below this line were not discussed due to time constraints.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

***Policies Due for Review***

* [***6.1.37 Naming of University Facilities and Entities***](https://policy.illinoisstate.edu/facilities/6-1-37/) ***(AABC)***
* [***2.1.5 Student Leave of Absence***](https://policy.illinoisstate.edu/students/2-1-5/) ***(SC)***
* [***3.1.44 Amorous Relations***](https://policy.illinoisstate.edu/employee/general/3-1-44/) ***(UPC)***
* [***4.1.4 Dress Codes***](https://policy.illinoisstate.edu/academic/4-1-4/) ***(AAC)***

***Discussion of meeting on Oct 28 vs Oct 21***

***Adjournment***

Motion by Senator Blair

Second by Senator Cline

Unanimous Approval