Date & Time: October 8, 2025

Chair: Aaron Paolucci

Attendance

Present	Absent
Senator Blanco-Lobo (CAS Faculty)	Dr.Cutting (Provost Designee)(non-voting)
Senator Bonnell (MIL Faculty, Senate Chair)	VP Nelson (VPFP)(non-voting)
Senator Figueroa Fragoso (Student Senator)	Senator Paolucci (WKCFA Faculty)(Committee Chair)
Senator Marshack (WKCFA Faculty)	
Senator Torry (CAST Faculty)	
Senator Porter (CS Representative)	
AVP Polifka (VP Student Affairs Designee)	
Senator Stoner (Student Senator)	
Senator Pettit (Student Senator)	
Senator Blum (Student Senator)	

Guest: Chief Information Security Officer (CISO): Dan Taube

1. Opening Business

- Meeting called to order at **6:00 PM**.
- Roll call completed; quorum established.
- No public comment recorded.
- Approval of previous minutes:

- September 10th meeting minutes approval (First by Senator Figueroa and Second by Senator Stoner). Approved unanimously.
- September 24th joint meeting minutes approved with correction noting Senator Stoner's absence.

2. Information Items

a. 2024-2025 Priority Report

- Committee will continue the previous year's focus on GE Road facilities and cultural engineering.
- Outstanding issue: block scheduling and its impact on students taking GE Road classes.
- The Provost's office is conducting a **schedule analysis**, delayed due to data complexity.

b. Academic Facilities Report

 Process for unit facility reporting has changed; a revised report format is forthcoming from AVP Dan Elkin.

c. Policy 1.7 (Use of Equipment for Surveillance)

- Still under revision; overlaps with emerging **policy on classroom recordings** (academic affairs committee).
- Discussion noted **Illinois' two-party consent law** for recordings.
- The new recording policy aims to clarify instructor consent, student accommodations, and privacy compliance.

3. Discussion Item: Policy 9.2 – Acceptable Use of IT Resources

Purpose:

First working session to review draft revisions using the **red/yellow/green framework** to identify major (red), moderate (yellow), and minor (green) issues.

Key Updates:

- Policy 9.2 is a major **rewrite** focusing on *acceptable use* of university IT resources.
- Policy must align with FERPA, Gramm-Leach-Bliley, and other privacy laws.
- Definitions moved to the front of the document for clarity.
- Added structure with new subsections (Core Principles, Legal Compliance, etc.).

Main Discussion Points:

1. Scope:

- Applies to all users with official university affiliation (employees, students, contractors, vendors).
- Does **not** apply to general website visitors.
- University retains right to restrict access for malicious activity.

2. Terminology:

- Clarify terms like responsible, ethical, and unethical communication.
- Add Illinois-specific legal definitions where possible.

3. Privacy Statement ("Endeavor to protect user privacy"):

 Members agreed "endeavor" sounds weak; wording should better reflect institutional commitment while maintaining legal caution.

4. Civil Liberties Clause:

 Discussion on defining "civil liberties" and limiting surveillance use of university data systems (e.g., security cameras).

5. Overlap with Policy 1.7:

- 9.2 covers general IT use; 1.7 will provide specific surveillance guidelines.
- Both must align to prevent data misuse or overreach.

6. Artificial Intelligence (AI):

- Al language added; members agreed it should remain flexible due to rapid evolution.
- Possible reference to a separate **Responsible Al Use** policy under development.

7. Training Requirements:

- Policy includes mandatory IT awareness training for users and vendors.
- Some external partners already meet this via contract compliance.

8. Incident Reporting:

- Clarify difference between reporting security incidents (data exposure) and policy violations.
- Suggest adding examples for clarity.

9. Personal Use and Commercial Activity:

- Discussion on permissible personal activities on campus networks (e.g., side businesses, streaming).
- University discourages commercial use of institutional resources but recognizes blurred boundaries (e.g., dorm use).

10. Language and Tone:

 Goal: keep policy legally sound yet user-friendly and effective, not overly bureaucratic.

4. Next Steps

- CISO to address yellow-flag issues (clarifications, wording, examples) before the next meeting.
- Al references and training requirements to be refined.
- Continue red/yellow/green framework review at **next session**.

5. Adjournment

- Motion to adjourn by **Senator Marshack**; seconded by Senator Porter and approved unanimously.
- Meeting adjourned at approximately **6:47 PM**.
- Chair thanked **CISO Dan** for contributions and collaboration.