

Planning and Finance Committee Meeting Agenda
Wednesday, March 4th, 2026
6:00 pm
Founder's Suite (west side), Bone Student Center

Call to Order (6:00pm)

Roll Call

In Attendance	Absent
Blanco Lobo	Figueroa-Fragoso
Bonnell	Polifka
Marshack	Torry
Cutting	Porter
Nelson	
Pettit	
Stoner	
Paolucci	
Taube	

Public Comment

- N/A

Order of Business

1. Approval of Committee Minutes from 2-18-26 (minutes attached in email)

- Motion to amend the amendments and approve them
 - i. 1st Pettit
 - ii. 2nd Stoner
 - 1. 6 yes
 - 2. 2 abstains

2. Policy 9.2 – Committee recaps latest 9.2 edits in response to senate and GC feedback. Quick discussion on strategy for senate meeting as 9.2 is now an action item

- The committee discussed Action Item 9.2, which had been revised following feedback from the Senate.
- The chair outlined the process for presenting the updates to the Senate and emphasized that the revised document includes approximately eight to nine changes since the previous version.

- Key procedural discussion points included:
 - i. Clarification that discussion during Senate proceedings should be framed as debate rather than comments.
 - ii. Procedures for handling friendly amendments during debate.
 - iii. How to determine whether an amendment is accepted by consensus
 - Members discussed the possibility that a senator might raise concerns regarding the policy language. It was noted that:
 - i. Some language revisions were based on prior feedback from senators.
 - ii. Legal review had already been conducted to ensure compliance with university policies.
 - Members also discussed concerns regarding personal devices and clarified that the policy language indicates that the university would not access or physically seize personal devices but could suspend access to certain services if necessary.
-

3. Policy 1.7 (Use of Equipment for Surveillance) – Committee discusses structural questions tied to where 1.7 is housed:

- The committee reviewed procedural options for Senate action on the policy, including:
 - i. Postponing consideration of the policy to a later meeting
 - ii. Suspending consideration for additional review
 - iii. Tabling the motion
- It was noted that postponement would require a motion, second, and majority vote.
- The committee discussed Policy 1.7, which relates to surveillance or camera policies on campus.
 - i. Key discussion points included:
 1. Policy Classification
 - a. Members considered whether the policy should remain in the 1.0 Campus Conduct section or be moved to the 5.0 Health and Safety section.
 - i. Arguments discussed included:
 1. Reasons to move the policy:
 - a. Cameras may be primarily used for safety and emergency management.
 - b. Aligning the policy with health and safety sections could improve clarity.
 2. Reasons to keep the policy in the current section:
 - a. The policy provides broader governance and oversight rather than narrow safety guidelines.
 - b. Policies in the 1.0 series often involve significant legal oversight from the Office of General Counsel.

2. Oversight Considerations
 - a. The committee discussed whether the policy should remain under Senate oversight or transition to a non-Senate administrative policy.
 - b. Members emphasized the importance of:
 - i. Maintaining appropriate guardrails and governance over surveillance technologies.
 - ii. Ensuring campus safety officials retain the ability to operate effectively.
 3. Committee Workload and Timeline
 - a. Members noted that the committee has two remaining meetings this semester, limiting the ability to complete a full policy revision.
 - b. Potential approaches discussed included:
 - i. Continuing to revise policy language within the committee.
 - ii. Simultaneously asking the Executive Committee for guidance on:
 1. Whether the policy should move from the 1.0 to the 5.0 section.
 2. Whether oversight should remain with the Senate.
 - c. This approach would allow policy development to continue while governance questions are clarified.
- Future Steps
 - i. The committee tentatively agreed that:
 1. Work on revising the policy language should continue.
 2. Questions regarding policy placement and oversight should be raised with the Executive Committee.
 3. Feedback from subject matter experts and university counsel will continue to inform revisions.

4. Adjourn (6:51)

- 1st Pettit
- 2nd Stoner