## Faculty Caucus Minutes Wednesday, September 15, 2010 Immediately Following Academic Senate Meeting (Approved)

### Call to Order

Senate Chairperson Dan Holland called the meeting to order immediately following the Senate meeting.

#### Approval of Faculty Caucus Minutes of September 1, 2010 and Addendum to April 7, 2010 Minutes

Motion: By Senator Hoelscher, seconded by Senator Stewart, to approve the September 1, 2010 minutes and the addendum to the April 7, 2010 minutes. The motion was unanimously approved.

#### Action Items:

*Volunteer Needed to Serve as CAS (Humanities) Senate Faculty Liaison* Senator Weeks volunteered by email to serve as the Faculty Liaison for CAS Humanities.

*Volunteer Needed to Serve as CFA Senate Faculty Liaison (Spring Semester)* Senator Horst volunteered to serve as the CFA Faculty Liaison for the spring semester.

Election of Faculty Representative to the Student Grievance Committee Election of Faculty Representative to the Council on General Education Election of Faculty Representative to the Academic Freedom Ethics and Grievance Committee

Motion: Senator Solberg, seconded by Senator Hoelscher, to accept as a slate the nominees for the External Committee elections. The motion was unanimously approved.

Peter Bushell, CFA, was elected to the Student Grievance Committee for the term of 2010-13.

Alycia Hund, CAS, was elected to the Council on General Education for the term of 2010-13.

Brian Clark, Physics, was elected to the Academic Freedom Ethics and Grievance Committee for the term of 2010-13.

#### Information Item:

# 09.07.10.01 ASPT System Proposed Changes (Chuck McGuire, Associate VP for Academic Administration, and Rodger Singley, Chair of the University Review Committee)

This is the 5-year review of the ASPT document. The adopted changes were unanimously approved by the URC on April 6, 2010. The Faculty Ca

Senator Fazel: Item 7.A, External Reviews. Right now they are accessible to faculty, but according to this and according to law, they may not be.

Dr. McGuire: I would really want to run that one by legal. Every department has the right to determine whether they use external reviews. I would

Senator Kalter: Item 11.C, at the very bottom. This is about subpoenas. I wanted to suggest rather than eliminating that line we rewrite it to say, '

Dr. McGuire: I don't think we can. I think if we get an FBI subpoena from Homeland Security, we can't tell anybody.

Senator Kalter: I wouldn't dispute that. What I am trying to say is that there may be subpoenas that we would get where it's not illegal for us to n

Dr. McGuire: I'll check.

Senator Horst: My question has to do with the appeals process, Item 10. Did your committee consider having an appeals process for non-reappoint

Professor Singley: That question has come up recently. I have not had a chance to talk to the committee about it. As URC chair, I have been invol

Senator Horst: It seems logical that the same appeals process that you have for the letter would apply to the non-reappointment.

Professor Singley: It is an interesting situation where someone can appeal their annual performance evaluation, but they cannot appeal the effectiv

Dr. McGuire: There is an appeals process to the AFEGC for non-reappointment. It's for ethics violations and I think discrimination issues.

Senator Cedeño: Item 7.A. Is the law that black and white that you cannot even have a document in which you respect the anonymity of the write

Dr. McGuire: You can't share that letter unless the writer has waived his right to anonymity. We have devised a waiver and it's universally used.

Senator Cedeno: In that case, I support Senator Fazel's recommendation in terms of protecting the tenure and promotion process that might be de

Senator Van der Laan: It is not necessarily that the recommendations would be negative, but that they are positive and that the DFSC would not **Dr. McGuire:** I can't answer that question.

Senator Van der Laan: If that's the case, there is a contradiction between state and federal law. I was previously under the impression that these v Professor Singley: There was one lawsuit that I came across. I think it was the *Springfield General Register* that published private identification b Dr. McGuire: One of the big protections is for personnel stuff. Now how far that goes, I am not an expert on FOIA.

Senator Van der Laan: There was also a question about the subpoena. I can imagine if it's Homeland Security, there is no question about that, bu **Professor Singley:** That puts the university at issue of what subpoenas can and cannot be revealed.

Senator Cox: I will revisit that same item, 7, about the written evaluations and the language here. If we want to adopt the principle that written ev Senator Fazel: A point of clarification. Chuck, did you say you were going to check on whether it's possible for us not to even consider letters of Professor McGuire: Right.

Senator Fazel: But you are thinking that it may be illegal to do that?

**Professor McGuire:** I don't know. I don't have a problem with your original suggestion, I just need to check on it to make sure it follows Illinois **Senator Fazel:** My second point is about the appeal process for non-tenured faculty reappointment. I would not even question that or think about **Dr. McGuire:** Again, we want to look at that, but doing an excellent job needs to be defined for those purposes so that you have the criteria with v **Senator Fazel:** But timing should not keep us from doing the right thing for our faculty.

Dr. McGuire: For our students.

Senator Fazel: But if this faculty member had decided to stay, she could have stayed another year and taught our students, so it's just a matter of c Senator Holland: You are not actually looking for an identical process of the standard tenure decision, just some truncated version—perhaps an in Professor Singley: I think the URC is going to have to look at it. My personal bias right now is I would like to see the CFSC do it. I can see a cha Senator Holland: I am going to ask one quick question which is somewhat related to what you were just saying. In Item 2, you have eliminated th Professor Singley: A CFSC member is not going to be on a DFSC also. Certainly they are a member of the department. The key for URC looking Senator Holland: But that could already be answered presumably by a department chairperson who is not exempted in the original writing. It mal Dr. McGuire: One of the issues that I know we dealt with was that we got wide variations with colleges. We have two colleges in which the memi Senator Holland: But they are anomalies.

**Dr. McGuire:** We have also got two colleges with only three departments, so you end up with CFSCs of a very small number and very closely rela **Senator Stewart:** There is a reverse possibility that I have actually experienced where the school committee recommended tenure and the college **Senator Holland:** If the chairperson is already allowed to be there...

Dr. McGuire: Only at the appeal.

Professor Singley: By the time you get to an appeal, a lot of stuff has already happened. It's hard to unwind that.

Senator Kalter: I wanted to make two comments about the assumptions underlying this line. I come from a very large department. We have an en Senator Holland: This is something that people on the caucus should definitely go back and talk to your colleagues about. We are not going to thi

Senator Ellerton: In paragraph 4 of Item 10, there is a very similar concern, so I concur with Senator Kalter's comments because even in our dep. Professor Singley: The appeal would not have taken place if it weren't a negative one. The candidate wouldn't appeal a positive DFSC decision. Senator Ellerton: I am not clear at that point whether it's only an appeal on the basis of a DFSC decision. It depends on the level of the appeal. I 1 In that same paragraph, I wasn't sure if there was an inconsistency with the statement 'in any formal meeting with the CFSC, the DFSC shall be re Senator Holland: In one line, it says that they can have a meeting with no DFSC and in the next line, the DFSC will be represented. Professor Singley: I think we can modify that to where the DFSC is allowed to be present, to work that in preserving the right for that meeting to

Senator Wedwick: I am looking for clarification around the discussion of both item 2 and item 7. If I understood you correctly, in both of those it **Dr. McGuire:** Right.

Senator Wedwick: Isn't that same thing true with Item 2 about whether or not CFSCs choose to have the representatives from departments in on t Dr. McGuire: That's the way we have understood it.

Senator Wedwick: If it's a choice of the college, it would seem like it would be better to take this out of the language rather than requiring everyc **Dr. McGuire:** That was part of our thinking. If you take out the language, departments can use their own diverse processes. If they want to establi Senator Van der Laan: Item 6D says reports are due January 5 and I thought often what we do if something falls on a weekend is say the followi **Dr. McGuire:** It would be a de facto January 6 or 7 because we do a last day following rule.

**Professor Singley:** And there is language elsewhere within...we have a global statement somewhere within the ASPT Guidelines that takes care c **Senator Van der Laan:** Item 10. B.3. says that witnesses will not ordinarily be necessary, but may be permitted at the discretion of the DFSC or C **Dr. McGuire:** Sure.

**Professor Singley:** I know part of what we are working towards was that we don't want someone bringing in their witness who happens to be an *a* **Senator Van der Laan:** It's limited in favor of these committees, rather in favor of the faculty member.

Professor Singley: Actually, this is a step forward.

Dr. McGuire: There was no mention of witnesses whatsoever.

Senator Van der Laan: This could also precipitate the involvement of attorneys if the faculty member says I took this to the DFSC and the CFSC Dr. McGuire: The basic administrative rule is that as long as you follow your own rules, you're in good shape, so I don't think it would. Anybody Senator Van der Laan: At any rate, I don't like that language. I don't like the shackles that it puts on faculty. Not that witnesses would be necess: Dr. McGuire: One way to handle that is to strike the language about witness; then it becomes a case by case basis and leaves it up to the individu: Professor Singley: At some point, that's where the FRC comes in. If you can make the case that you did not receive a fair hearing at the departme Dr. McGuire: That was a fine line. We were trying to give some basic rights and a basic format for all of this process, but not create a whole supe Senator Van der Laan: A witness can have two meanings. One is that they come to bear witness and the other is to observe what is happening. Dr. McGuire: We are looking at it only in the former term.

Senator Van der Laan: The second term might be just as important for protection of the faculty.

Dr. McGuire: That has to do with the advocates.

Senator Van der Laan: It might be smarter to take that language out because the advocate can observe and also speak.

Senator Holland: The advocate doesn't speak.

Professor Singley: The advocate is there to assist the faculty member, but you are not representing the faculty.

Senator Holland: It is now 9:35 p.m. I would suggest that we do just a couple more questions and then go home and think about it for a couple of Senator Rich: I have a couple of quick questions about Item 6. In 6.E., number 2, the interim appraisal of a faculty member's progress for tenure **Dr. McGuire:** The mid-probationary reviews are unique to departments. Department guidelines set those up. I like the idea. We actually talked ab Senator Rich: They would now be doing both. They would have to put out a letter annually and go ahead and do their mid-probationary review. I **Dr. McGuire:** I think departments will largely have their own discipline-specific notions that need to build into that. From a process standpoint, I **Professor Singley:** The URC has done this in the past with the question of classroom evaluations. That issue came up a couple of years ago. We h **Senator Bonnell:** Are they going to be open meetings for all faculty? What is the process outside me reporting back to them?

Senator Holland: I think that's us.

Professor Singley: If any faculty wishes to email me a question, the URC will always respond.

Senator Bonnell: In the past, there had been open meetings about the major changes. Was that the result of the major change that you did ten year **Dr. McGuire:** Yes.

Senator Bonnell: Milner is going to have to change some of our documents to reflect these changes if they are passed. What would the process be **Professor Singley:** Certainly, we will update the power point reflecting what the Faculty Caucus ultimately decides.

**Dr. McGuire:** DFSC guidelines basically have to be adopted by a majority vote of the faculty by December 31, although that means December 15 **Senator Holland:** Milner and Mennonite are also going to be somewhat anomalous again in that college bylaws do have to go through the Rules ( **Senator Briggs:** If I am supposed to have the faculty members in the School of Art look at this and discuss it in two weeks, what is our timeline for **Senator Holland:** They can find it on the Provost's website and on the Senate website.

Senator Briggs: So we are not voting in two weeks?

Senator Holland: My guess is that we will have considerable questions in two weeks, so right now I'm intending to have it still as an Information Senator Crowley: In Item 2, my understanding is that DFSC members represent their own department. When we elect the CFSC, we wind up rep Dr. McGuire: No, because the way that CFSC members are elected, they are nominated by the department, but elected by the college. Their job is Senator Crowley: It seems to me there is a conflict of interest if my own colleagues have voted in a certain manner and then I wind up having to a Senator Holland: We will certainly be able to debate that when we bring it as an Action Item. We will probably vote on each of the items individu Professor Singley: That's effectively the way of the URC. We accepted as a group some of these that were pretty clear.

Senator Fazel: Is the document that was distributed on the website?

Senator Holland: Could we make this available?

Dr. McGuire: I think that we can do it, yes.

Senator Kalter: When a draft changes, could you say something like 1.1 so that we know the draft has changed since we first got the document?

Professor Singley: Yes, we will stay with the same basic front number, but I guess we will have multiple versions.

## Adjournment

Motion: By Senator Cedeño, seconded by Senator Stewart, to adjourn. The motion was unanimously approved.